arXiv:0812.3156v2 [math.QA] 12 Jan 2010

$\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras and their modules

Haisheng Li[1]
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Rutgers University, Camden, NJ 08102

Abstract

This is a paper in a series to study vertex algebra-like structures arising from various algebras including quantum affine algebras and Yangians. In this paper, we study notions of $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra and $\hbar$-adic (weak) quantum vertex algebra, slightly generalizing Etingof-Kazhdan's notion of quantum vertex operator algebra. For any topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module W, we study $\hbar$-adically compatible subsets and $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local subsets of $(\mathrm{End}W)[[x,x^{-1}]]$. We prove that any $\hbar$-adically compatible subset generates an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a module and that any $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local subset generates an $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra with W as a module. A general construction theorem of $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebras and $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras is obtained. As an application we associate the centrally extended double Yangian of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ to $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras.

1 Introduction

In [EK], one of an important series of papers, Etingof and Kazhdan introduced a fundamental notion of quantum vertex operator algebra and they constructed a family of quantum vertex operator algebras which are formal deformations of vertex operator algebras associated with affine Lie algebras $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}}$. For a quantum vertex operator algebra in this sense, the underlying space is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module $V=V^0[[\hbar]]$ with V^0 a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$, and the vertex operator map Y is a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map from V to $\mathrm{Hom}(V,V^0((x))[[\hbar]])$, where the key axioms are a quasi commutativity property, called $\mathcal{S}$-locality, and an associativity property. Furthermore, the $\mathcal{S}$-locality by assumption is governed by a unitary rational quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}(x)$ on V. It follows from the definition that $V/\hbar V$ is an ordinary vertex algebra (over $\mathbb{C}$), so quantum vertex operator algebras in this sense are formal deformations of vertex algebras. As it was mentioned therein, a generalization of this theory to the super case is straightforward.

Inspired by [EK], in a series of papers ([Li4], [Li5], [Li6], [KL]) we have extensively studied a notion of (weak) quantum vertex algebra, as a generalization of the notions of vertex algebra and vertex superalgebra. For a (weak) quantum vertex algebra V in this sense, the underlying space is a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ and the vertex operator map Y is a linear map from V to $\mathrm{Hom}(V,V((x)))$, which satisfies a certain braided Jacobi identity, or equivalently an $\mathcal{S}$-locality and associativity. This theory of (weak) quantum vertex algebras has many of the features of the theory of ordinary vertex (super-)algebras. For example, as it was proved in [Li4], weak quantum vertex algebras and their modules can

---

[1] Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0600189

be constructed from what were called $\mathcal{S}$-local sets of vertex operators on an arbitrarily given vector space, just as vertex (super)algebras and modules can be constructed from "mutually local" vertex operators (see [Li1]). Examples of quantum vertex algebras and modules were constructed in [Li5] from Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebras of a certain type and in [Li6] from q-versions of double Yanigan $DY_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ with q a nonzero complex number.

In this paper, we come back to Etingof-Kazhdan's notion of quantum vertex operator algebra with a slight generalization such that the classical limits are more general quantum vertex algebras. More specifically, we systematically study notions of $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra and $\hbar$-adic (weak) quantum vertex algebra, and we establish general construction theorems, with the ultimate goal to associate such $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras to centrally extended double Yangians essentially in the same way that affine Lie algebras were associated with vertex operator algebras. An $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra will be a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module V equipped with a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map Y from V to $(\mathrm{End}V)[[x,x^{-1}]]$ and a vector $\mathbf{1}\in V$ such that for every positive integer n, $V/\hbar^n V$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, while an $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra is an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra V that satisfies $\mathcal{S}$-locality in the sense of [EK] with $\mathcal{S}(x)$ only a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map without any other assumption. Furthermore, an $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebra is an $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra V such that the $\mathcal{S}$-locality operator $\mathcal{S}(x)$ is a unitary rational quantum Yang-Baxter operator and satisfies the shift condition and hexagon identity as in [EK].

For each finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, Drinfeld (see [Dr1]) introduced a Hopf algebra $Y(\mathfrak{g})$, called Yangian, as a deformation of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t]$. Then the double $DY_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ in the sense of Drinfeld was studied in [KT]. Furthermore, centrally extended double Yangian $\widehat{DY_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})}$ was studied in [Kh] and [IK], as a deformation of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ of the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, where a vertex operator representation was also given. Our objective is to establish a canonical association of the centrally extended double Yangians (in which the parameter $\hbar$ is a formal variable, instead of a complex number) with vertex algebra-like structures. This is our main motivation to study $\hbar$-adic (weak) quantum vertex algebras.

In this paper we build the foundation for this theory of $\hbar$-adic (weak) quantum vertex algebras. As one of the main results, we establish a general construction of $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebras and their modules. This is an $\hbar$-adic version of the general construction in [Li5] of weak quantum vertex algebras and their modules, and we here extensively use the results therein. Let W be a general $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module. Consider formal series

$$a(x)=\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} a_m x^{-m-1}\in(\mathrm{End}W)[[x,x^{-1}]]$$

satisfying the condition that for every $w\in W$ and for every positive integer n, there exists an integer k such that $a_m w\in\hbar^n W$ for $m\geq k$, and let $\mathcal{E}_{\hbar}(W)$ consist of all such $a(x)$. In the case that $W=W^0[[\hbar]]$ is topologically free (with W^0 a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$), we have $\mathcal{E}_{\hbar}(W)=\mathcal{E}(W^0)[[\hbar]]$ which is also topologically free. (Recall that for a vector space U over $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{E}(U)=\mathrm{Hom}(U,U((x)))$.) We then study what we call $\hbar$-adically compatible subsets and $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local subsets of $\mathcal{E}_{\hbar}(W)$. We prove that any $\hbar$-adically compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ generates an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a canonical module, while an $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ generates an $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra with W as a canonical module.

The fact is that the generating functions of a centrally extended double Yangian on a highest weight module W together with the identity operator 1_W form an $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$, and hence one has an $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra generated by those generating functions. It was known (see [Li1], [LL]) that if W is a highest weight module for affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of level $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$, then the canonical generating functions of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ generate a vertex algebra, which can be identified as a so-called vacuum $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module of the same level ℓ. For centrally extended double Yangians, the situation is different; the generated $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra is not a module for $\widehat{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})}$, but it is a module for a certain cover of $\widehat{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})}$. This is mainly due to that the field associated to a Cartan element is broken into two fields in the quantum case. In this paper, we pick up the simplest case with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and work out the details. More specifically, we introduce a cover $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$ of $\widehat{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$ and by using our general construction we show that on a universal vacuum $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of a generic level (which is defined suitably), there exists a canonical $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebra structure with every highest weight $\widehat{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of the same level as a module. In principle, a generalization to the centrally extended double Yangian of a general finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras can be done in a similar way, but one has to deal with the complicated Serre type relations. We plan to study this in a future publication.

In this paper, we also construct a family of $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras as deformations of certain quantum vertex algebras which were studied in [KL]. Those quantum vertex algebras were constructed by using certain generalized Weyl-Clifford algebras, or Zamolodchikov-Faddeev algebras. In one special case, we obtain a quantum $\beta\gamma$-system, and in another we obtain a formal deformation of the vertex operator superalgebra V_L associated with the lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ with $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 1$.

There is a very interesting paper [AB], in which Anguelova and Bergvelt studied a broad class of vertex algebra-like structures called H_D-quantum vertex algebras, using some ideas of Borcherds from [B2], and they constructed certain interesting examples by employing Borcherds' bicharacter construction. This notion of H_D-quantum vertex algebra generalizes the notion of braided vertex operator algebra in [EK] in several aspects. For example, the braiding operator $\mathcal{S}$ (describing quasi locality) is allowed to have two (independent) spectral parameters, instead of one. What we here call $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras can be considered as a subfamily of H_D-quantum vertex algebras. A drawback of this generality is that general H_D-quantum vertex algebras, just as Etingof-Kazhdan's braided vertex operator algebras, fail to satisfy the usual associativity for vertex algebras, though they do satisfy a braided associativity. On the other hand, weak quantum vertex algebras in the sense of [Li4] and $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebras all satisfy the usual associativity, which promises a transparent representation theory. Especially, examples of $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras (and their modules) are constructed by using vertex operators on potential modules from a representation point of view.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we study $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebras and $\hbar$-adic (weak) quantum vertex algebras and present some basic results. In Section 3, we present some technical results. In particular we discuss $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebras. In Section 4, we give a general construction of $\hbar$-adic (weak) quantum vertex algebras and their modules using $\hbar$-adic $\mathcal{S}$-local sets of (formal) vertex operators. In Section 5, we construct some $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras which are deformations of certain quantum vertex algebras. In Section 6, as an application of the general construction we associate the centrally extended double Yangian of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ with $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras.

Acknowledgement: Part of this paper was finished during my visit at Shanghai Jiaotong University, China, in May 2008. I am very grateful to Professor Cuipo Jiang for her hospitality. I would like to thank the referees for valuable suggestions to put this paper in better shape.

2 $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebras and $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebras

In this section we study the notions of $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra and $\hbar$-adic (weak) quantum vertex algebra, and we present basic properties of $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebras. The notion of $\hbar$-adic (weak) quantum vertex algebra slightly generalizes Etingof-Kazhdan's notion of quantum vertex operator algebra. In this paper, we use the standard formal variable notations and conventions as established in [FLM] (cf. [LL]). The scalar field will be the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers, $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+$ denote the set of nonnegative integers and the set of positive integers, respectively.

We start by recalling the notion of nonlocal vertex algebra (cf. [BK], [Li2]). A *nonlocal vertex algebra* is a vector space V, equipped with a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Y : V &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(V, V((x))) \subset (\mathrm{End}V)[[x, x^{-1}]] \\ v &\mapsto Y(v, x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1} \quad (v_n \in \mathrm{End}V) \end{aligned}$$

and equipped with a distinguished vector $\mathbf{1} \in V$, satisfying the conditions that

$$Y(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1, \tag{2.1}$$

$$Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} \in V[[x]] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x\to 0} Y(v, x)\mathbf{1}\ (= v_{-1}\mathbf{1}) = v \quad \text{for } v \in V, \tag{2.2}$$

and that for $u, v, w \in V$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(x_0 + x_2)^l Y(u, x_0 + x_2)Y(v, x_2)w = (x_0 + x_2)^l Y(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w \tag{2.3}$$

in $V[[x_0^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]]$ (the *weak associativity*).

For a nonlocal vertex algebra V, define a linear operator $\mathcal{D}$ on V by

$$\mathcal{D}v = v_{-2}\mathbf{1} \left(= \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{d}{dx} Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} \right) \quad \text{for } v \in V. \tag{2.4}$$

We have ([Li2], Proposition 2.6)

$$[\mathcal{D}, Y(v,x)] = Y(\mathcal{D}v, x) = \frac{d}{dx}Y(v,x) \quad \text{for } v \in V, \tag{2.5}$$

and furthermore,

$$e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(v,x_1)e^{-x\mathcal{D}} = Y(e^{xD}v, x_1) = Y(v, x_1 + x), \tag{2.6}$$

$$Y(v,x)\mathbf{1} = e^{x\mathcal{D}}v. \tag{2.7}$$

In [Li4], the following class of nonlocal vertex algebras was singled out:

Definition 2.1. A *weak quantum vertex algebra* is a nonlocal vertex algebra V, satisfying $\mathcal{S}$-locality: For $u, v \in V$, there exist

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} u^{(i)} \otimes v^{(i)} \otimes f_i(x) \in V \otimes V \otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$$

and a nonnegative integer k such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y(u,x_1)Y(v,x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2 - x_1)Y(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y(u^{(i)}, x_1), \tag{2.8}$$

where $f_i(x_2 - x_1)$ is to be expanded in the nonnegative powers of x_1, i.e., in view of the formal Taylor theorem,

$$f_i(x_2 - x_1) = e^{-x_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}} f_i(x_2) \in \mathbb{C}((x_2))[[x_1]].$$

Remark 2.2. Let V be a weak quantum vertex algebra. Let $u, v, w \in V$ and assume that (2.8) holds. Then weak associativity relation (2.3) and (2.8) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) Y(u,x_1)Y(v,x_2)w \\ & \quad -x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(-x_0)Y(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y(u^{(i)}, x_1)w \\ = \; & x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) Y(Y(u,x_0)v, x_2)w \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

(the $\mathcal{S}$-*Jacobi identity*). In fact, the notion of weak quantum vertex algebra can be alternatively defined by using all the axioms that define the notion of nonlocal vertex algebra except that the weak associativity axiom is replaced by $\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity.

Definition 2.3. Let U be a vector space. A *unitary rational quantum Yang-Baxter operator* (with one parameter) on U is a linear map

$$\mathcal{S}(x) : U \otimes U \to U \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$$

satisfying the condition that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{S}^{21}(x)\mathcal{S}(-x)=1,\\&\mathcal{S}^{12}(x_1)\mathcal{S}^{13}(x_1+x_2)\mathcal{S}^{23}(x_2)=\mathcal{S}^{23}(x_2)\mathcal{S}^{13}(x_1+x_2)\mathcal{S}^{12}(x_1),\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{S}^{21}(x)=P\mathcal{S}(x)P$ with P the permutation operator on $U\otimes U$.

The following notion is essentially due to Etingof and Kazhdan [EK]:

Definition 2.4. A *quantum vertex algebra* is a nonlocal vertex algebra V equipped with a unitary rational quantum Yang-Baxter operator $\mathcal{S}(x)$ on V, satisfying the conditions that

$$[\mathcal{D}\otimes 1,\mathcal{S}(x)]=-\frac{d\mathcal{S}(x)}{dx}\quad\text{(the \textit{shift condition})},\tag{2.10}$$

and that for any $u,v\in V$, there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$\begin{aligned}&(x_1-x_2)^kY(x_1)(1\otimes Y(x_2))(\mathcal{S}(x_1-x_2)(u\otimes v)\otimes w)\\=\;&(x_1-x_2)^kY(x_2)(1\otimes Y(x_1))(v\otimes u\otimes w)\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

for all $w\in V$, and that

$$\mathcal{S}(x)(Y(z)\otimes 1)=(Y(z)\otimes 1)\mathcal{S}^{23}(x)\mathcal{S}^{13}(x+z)\tag{2.12}$$

(the *hexagon identity*), where $Y(x):V\otimes V\to V((x))$ is the map associated to the vertex operator map $Y(\cdot,x):V\to \mathrm{Hom}(V,V((x)))$.

The following notion is due to Etingof and Kazhdan [EK]:

Definition 2.5. Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra. For each positive integer n, define a linear map

$$Z_n:\mathbb{C}((x_1))\cdots((x_n))\otimes V^{\otimes n}\to V((x_1))\cdots((x_n))\tag{2.13}$$

by

$$Z_n(f\otimes v^{(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes v^{(n)})=f(x_1,\dots,x_n)Y(v^{(1)},x_1)\cdots Y(v^{(n)},x_n)\mathbf{1}\tag{2.14}$$

for $v^{(1)},\dots,v^{(n)}\in V,\ f\in\mathbb{C}((x_1))\cdots((x_n))$. If all the linear maps Z_n for $n\geq 1$ are injective, V is said to be *nondegenerate*.

The following proposition ([Li4], Theorems 4.8 and 5.11) was lifted from [EK]:

Proposition 2.6. *Let V be a weak quantum vertex algebra. Assume that V is nondegenerate. Then there exists a unique linear map $\mathcal{S}(x):V\otimes V\to V\otimes V\otimes\mathbb{C}((x))$ satisfying the condition that for any $u,v\in V$, there exists a nonnegative integer k such that (2.11) holds with*

$$\mathcal{S}(x)(v\otimes u)=\sum_{i=1}^{r}v^{(i)}\otimes u^{(i)}\otimes f_i(x).$$

Furthermore, V equipped with $\mathcal{S}(x)$ is a quantum vertex algebra.

Definition 2.7. Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra. A *V-module* is a vector space W equipped with a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Y_W : V &\rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(W, W((x))) \subset (\operatorname{End} W)[[x, x^{-1}]] \\ v &\mapsto Y_W(v, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1} \quad (v_n \in \operatorname{End} W) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the conditions that

$$Y_W(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1_W \quad \text{(where } 1_W \text{ denotes the identity operator on } W\text{)}, \tag{2.15}$$

and that for any $u, v \in V,\ w \in W$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(x_0 + x_2)^l Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2) w = (x_0 + x_2)^l Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2) w. \tag{2.16}$$

A *quasi V-module* is defined by using all the above axioms except that the last weak associativity axiom is replaced by a weaker axiom: For any $u, v \in V,\ w \in W$, there exists $0 \neq p(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ such that

$$p(x_0 + x_2, x_2) Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2) w = p(x_0 + x_2, x_2) Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2) w. \tag{2.17}$$

Next, we study $\hbar$-adic analogues. Let $\hbar$ be a formal variable throughout this paper. A $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module W is said to be *torsion-free* if $\hbar w \neq 0$ for every $0 \neq w \in W$, and is said to be *separated* if $\cap_{n \geq 1} \hbar^n W = 0$. For a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module W, using subsets $w + \hbar^n W$ for $w \in W,\ n \geq 1$ as the basis of open sets one obtains a topology on W, which is called the *$\hbar$-adic topology.* A $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module W is said to be *$\hbar$-adically complete* if every Cauchy sequence in W with respect to this $\hbar$-adic topology has a limit in W. A $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module W is *topologically free* if $W = W^0[[\hbar]]$ for some vector space W^0 over $\mathbb{C}$. A fact is that a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module is topologically free if and only if it is torsion-free, separated, and $\hbar$-adically complete (cf. [K]).

Definition 2.8. Let W be a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module. Define $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ to consist of each formal series

$$a(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m x^{-m-1} \in (\operatorname{End} W)[[x, x^{-1}]]$$

such that for every $w \in W$, $a_m w \rightarrow 0$, that is, for every positive integer n,

$$a_m w \in \hbar^n W \quad \text{for } m \text{ sufficiently large.}$$

For every $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-endomorphism F of W, F preserves the submodules $\hbar^n W$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that F gives rise to an endomorphism of $W/\hbar^n W$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this way, we have natural $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module homomorphisms

$$\tilde{\pi}_n : \operatorname{End} W \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(W/\hbar^n W)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We also use $\tilde{\pi}_n$ for its canonical extensions—the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module homomorphisms from $(\operatorname{End} W)[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}]]$ to $(\operatorname{End}(W/\hbar^n W))[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}]]$ for $r \geq 1$. In terms of the maps $\tilde{\pi}_n$ we have

$$\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W) = \left\{ a(x) \in (\operatorname{End} W)[[x, x^{-1}]] \mid \tilde{\pi}_n(a(x)) \in \mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^n W) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \tag{2.18}$$

Definition 2.9. An *$\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra* is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module V, equipped with a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map

$$\begin{aligned} Y : V &\to \mathcal{E}_\hbar(V) \subset (\mathrm{End}V)[[x, x^{-1}]] \\ v &\mapsto Y(v, x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1} \end{aligned}$$

and equipped with a distinguished vector $\mathbf{1} \in V$, satisfying the conditions that

$$\begin{aligned} &Y(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1, \\ &Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} \in V[[x]] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x\to 0} Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} \ (= v_{-1}\mathbf{1}) = v \quad \text{for } v \in V, \end{aligned}$$

and that for $u, v, w \in V$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(x_0 + x_2)^l Y(u, x_0 + x_2)Y(v, x_2)w \equiv (x_0 + x_2)^l Y(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w \tag{2.19}$$

modulo $\hbar^n V[[x_0^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]]$ (the *$\hbar$-adic weak associativity*).

Remark 2.10. Notice that for $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, the coefficient of the monomial $x_0^r x_2^s$ in the expression $Y(u, x_0 + x_2)Y(v, x_2)w$ is

$$\sum_{i\geq 0} \binom{r+i}{i} u_{-r-1-i} v_{-s-1+i} w,$$

which is an infinite sum in general, even though it converges to an element of V. This is one of the few places where V needs to be $\hbar$-adically complete.

The following is a characterization of an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra in terms of nonlocal vertex algebras over $\mathbb{C}$:

Proposition 2.11. *Let V be a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module, equipped with a vector $\mathbf{1} \in V$ and a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map Y from V to $(\mathrm{End}V)[[x, x^{-1}]]$. Then $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ carries the structure of an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra if and only if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(V/\hbar^n V, Y^{(n)}, \mathbf{1} + \hbar^n V)$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, where $Y^{(n)} : V/\hbar^n V \to (\mathrm{End}(V/\hbar^n V))[[x, x^{-1}]]$ is the canonical map reduced from Y.*

Proof. From definition it is clear that if $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ is an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra, $V/\hbar^n V$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, assume that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $V/\hbar^n V$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. For $v \in V$, we have $\tilde{\pi}_n(Y(v, x)) \in \mathcal{E}(V/\hbar^n V)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $Y(v, x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(V)$. On the other hand, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\mathbf{1} + \hbar^n V$ being the vacuum vector of $V/\hbar^n V$, we have $Y(\mathbf{1}, x)v - v \in \hbar^n V[[x, x^{-1}]]$ for $v \in V$. Because V is separated, we must have $Y(\mathbf{1}, x)v - v = 0$. Similarly, we can show $Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} \in V[[x]]$ and $\lim_{x\to 0} Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} = v$. The weak associativity of $V/\hbar^n V$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ exactly amounts to the $\hbar$-adic weak associativity. Then $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ is an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra. □

Remark 2.12. Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra. We have a projective inverse system of nonlocal vertex algebras over $\mathbb{C}$ (or over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$):

$$0 \leftarrow V/\hbar V \leftarrow V/\hbar^2 V \leftarrow V/\hbar^3 V \leftarrow \cdots \tag{2.20}$$

and the $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra V can be considered as an inverse limit.

Using Proposition 2.11 (and the arguments in the proof) we immediately have:

Lemma 2.13. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra. Define $\mathcal{D} \in \mathrm{End}V$ by*

$$\mathcal{D}(v) = v_{-2}\mathbf{1} \quad \textit{for } v \in V. \tag{2.21}$$

Then

$$[\mathcal{D}, Y(v,x)] = Y(\mathcal{D}v, x) = \frac{d}{dx}Y(v,x) \quad \textit{for } v \in V.$$

Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra. Following [EK], let

$$Y(x) : V\hat{\otimes}V \to V[[x, x^{-1}]]$$

be the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map associated to the vertex operator map $Y : V \to (\mathrm{End}V)[[x, x^{-1}]]$, where here and forth $V\hat{\otimes}V$ and $V\hat{\otimes}V\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$ stand for the $\hbar$-adically completed tensor products. If $V = V^0[[\hbar]]$ with V^0 a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, we have

$$V\hat{\otimes}V = (V^0 \otimes V^0)[[\hbar]] \quad \text{and} \quad V\hat{\otimes}V\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]] = (V^0 \otimes V^0 \otimes \mathbb{C}((x)))[[\hbar]].$$

Definition 2.14. Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra. Define a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map

$$Y(x_1, x_2) : V\hat{\otimes}V \to (\mathrm{End}V)[[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]]$$

by

$$Y(x_1, x_2)(u \otimes v)(w) = Y(x_1)(1 \otimes Y(x_2))(u \otimes v \otimes w) = Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2)w \tag{2.22}$$

for $u, v, w \in V$.

Definition 2.15. An *$\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra* is an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra V which satisfies *$\hbar$-adic $\mathcal{S}$-locality*: For $u, v \in V$, there exists

$$F(u, v, x) \in V\hat{\otimes}V\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$$

satisfying the condition that for every positive integer n, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2)w \equiv (x_1 - x_2)^k Y(x_2)(1 \otimes Y(x_1))(F(u, v, x_2 - x_1) \otimes w) \tag{2.23}$$

modulo $\hbar^n V[[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]]$ for all $w \in V$.

Remark 2.16. Let V be an $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra. We see that for every positive integer n, $V/\hbar^n V$ is a weak quantum vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. For $u,v,w\in V$, by Remark 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_2}{x_0}\right)Y(u,x_1)Y(v,x_2)w \\ & \quad -x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_1}{-x_0}\right)Y(x_2)(1\otimes Y(x_1))(F(u,v,-x_0)\otimes w) \\ \equiv\ & x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_0}{x_2}\right)Y(Y(u,x_0)v,x_2)w \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

modulo $\hbar^n V[[x_0^{\pm 1},x_1^{\pm 1},x_2^{\pm 1}]]$. Since V is $\hbar$-adically complete, the coefficient of each monomial $x_0^r x_1^p x_2^q$ for $r,p,q\in\mathbb{Z}$ in each of the three main terms is an element of V. As $\cap_{n\geq 1}\hbar^n V=0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_2}{x_0}\right)Y(u,x_1)Y(v,x_2)w \\ & \quad -x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_1}{-x_0}\right)Y(x_2)(1\otimes Y(x_1))(F(u,v,-x_0)\otimes w) \\ =\ & x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_0}{x_2}\right)Y(Y(u,x_0)v,x_2)w \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

(the *$\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity*). Clearly, $\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity is equivalent to $\hbar$-adic weak associativity and $\hbar$-adic $\mathcal{S}$-locality. In view of this, the notion of $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra can be defined alternatively by using the $\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity.

Definition 2.17. Let U be a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module and let r be a positive integer. For

$$A(x_1,\dots,x_r),\quad B(x_1,\dots,x_r)\in U[[x_1^{\pm 1},\dots,x_r^{\pm 1}]],$$

we write $A\sim_{\pm} B$ if for every positive integer n, there exists a (nonzero) polynomial

$$p(x_1,\dots,x_r)\in\langle x_i\pm x_j\mid 1\leq i<j\leq r\rangle\subset\mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_r]$$

such that

$$p(x_1,\dots,x_r)(A(x_1,\dots,x_r)-B(x_1,\dots,x_r))\in\hbar^n U[[x_1^{\pm 1},\dots,x_r^{\pm 1}]]. \tag{2.26}$$

Clearly, relations $\sim_{\pm}$ on $U[[x_1^{\pm 1},\dots,x_r^{\pm 1}]]$ are equivalence relations. It is also clear that the left multiplication by a Laurent polynomial and the formal partial differential operators $\partial/\partial x_1,\dots,\partial/\partial x_r$ preserve the equivalence relations. For convenience, we shall also use the notation $\sim$ for $\sim_-$. If V is an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra, for $u,v,w\in V$ we have

$$Y(u,x_0+x_2)Y(v,x_2)w\sim_+ Y(Y(u,x_0)v,x_2)w$$

in $V[[x_0^{\pm1}, x_2^{\pm1}]]$. Furthermore, if V is an $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra, for any $u, v \in V$, there exists

$$F(u, v, x) \in V \hat{\otimes} V \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$$

such that

$$Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2) \sim Y(x_2, x_1)F(u, v, x_2 - x_1)$$

in $(\mathrm{End}V)[[x_1^{\pm1}, x_2^{\pm1}]]$.

Remark 2.18. Note that the equivalence relations $\sim_\pm$ when restricted to certain subspaces of $U[[x_1^{\pm1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm1}]]$ amount to the equality relation. For example, let $U = U^0[[\hbar]]$ be a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module. For

$$A(x_1, \ldots, x_r), \quad B(x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in (U^0((x_1)) \cdots ((x_r)))[[\hbar]],$$

if $A \sim_\pm B$, we must have $A = B$. This is simply because $(U^0((x_1)) \cdots ((x_r)))[[\hbar]]$ is a vector space over the field $\mathbb{C}((x_1)) \cdots ((x_r))$ which contains $x_i \pm x_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq r$.

Proposition 2.19. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra and let*

$$u, v \in V, \ A(x) \in V \hat{\otimes} V \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]].$$

Then

$$Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2) \sim Y(x_2, x_1)(A(x_2 - x_1))$$

if and only if

$$Y(u, x)v = e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(-x)(A(-x)). \tag{2.27}$$

Furthermore, V is an $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra if and only if there exists a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map

$$\mathcal{S}(x) : V \hat{\otimes} V \to V \hat{\otimes} V \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$$

such that

$$Y(u, x)v = e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(-x)\mathcal{S}(-x)(v \otimes u) \quad \text{for } u, v \in V. \tag{2.28}$$

Proof. We only need to prove the first part. Note that for every positive integer n, $V/\hbar^n V$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. By Corollary 5.3 of [Li4], there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2)w \equiv (x_1 - x_2)^k Y(x_2, x_1)(A(x_2 - x_1))w \mod \hbar^n V$$

for all $w \in V$ if and only if

$$Y(u, x)v \equiv e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(-x)(A(-x)) \mod \hbar^n V.$$

Since V is $\hbar$-adically complete, the coefficient of each power of x in $e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(-x)(A(-x))$ is an element of V. As $\cap_{n\geq1}\hbar^n V = 0$, the relations $Y(u, x)v \equiv e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(-x)(A(-x)) \mod \hbar^n V$ for all $n \geq 1$ amount to (2.27). □

The following is a reformulation and a slight generalization of Etingof and Kazhdan's notion of quantum vertex operator algebra (see [EK]):

Definition 2.20. An *$\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebra* is an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra V equipped with a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map

$$\mathcal{S}(x) : V\hat{\otimes}V \to V\hat{\otimes}V\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]], \tag{2.29}$$

which satisfies the *shift condition*

$$[\mathcal{D}\otimes 1, \mathcal{S}(x)] = -\frac{d\mathcal{S}(x)}{dx}, \tag{2.30}$$

the *quantum Yang-Baxter equation*:

$$\mathcal{S}^{12}(x_1)\mathcal{S}^{13}(x_1+x_2)\mathcal{S}^{23}(x_2) = \mathcal{S}^{23}(x_2)\mathcal{S}^{13}(x_1+x_2)\mathcal{S}^{12}(x_1) \tag{2.31}$$

and the *unitarity condition*:

$$\mathcal{S}^{21}(x)\mathcal{S}(-x) = 1, \tag{2.32}$$

subject to the following axioms:

(QA1) The *$\hbar$-adic $\mathcal{S}$-locality*: For any $u, v \in V$ and for any positive integer n, there exists $k \geq 0$ such that for any $w \in V$ the series

$$(x_1-x_2)^k Y(x_1)(1\otimes Y(x_2))(\mathcal{S}(x_1-x_2)(u\otimes v)\otimes w)$$

and

$$(x_1-x_2)^k Y(x_2)(1\otimes Y(x_1))(v\otimes u\otimes w)$$

coincide modulo $\hbar^n V[[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]]$.

(QA4) The *hexagon identity*:

$$\mathcal{S}(x_1)(Y(x_2)\otimes 1) = (Y(x_2)\otimes 1)\mathcal{S}^{23}(x_1)\mathcal{S}^{13}(x_1+x_2). \tag{2.33}$$

Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra. For a positive integer n, we define a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map

$$Z_n^V : V^{\hat{\otimes}n}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x_1))\cdots((x_n))[[\hbar]] \to V[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}]]$$

as in Definition 2.5. Recall that $V/\hbar V$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 2.21. *Let $V = V^0[[\hbar]]$ be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra such that the nonlocal vertex algebra $V/\hbar V$ over $\mathbb{C}$ is nondegenerate. Then for every positive integer n, the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map Z_n^V is injective.*

Proof. Let n be any positive integer and let $0 \neq A \in V^{\hat{\otimes} n} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((x_1)) \cdots ((x_n))[[\hbar]]$. Then

$$A = \hbar^k (A_0 + \hbar A_1 + \hbar^2 A_2 + \cdots)$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_i \in (V^0)^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbb{C}((x_1)) \cdots ((x_n))$ with $A_0 \neq 0$. Writing

$$Y(x) = Y_0(x) + \hbar Y_1(x) + \hbar^2 Y_2(x) + \cdots$$

with $Y_i \in (\mathrm{End} V^0)[[x, x^{-1}]]$ for $i \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(x_1)(1 \otimes Y(x_2)) \cdots (1^{\otimes (n-1)} \otimes Y(x_n)) A \\ = \ & \hbar^k Y_0(x_1)(1 \otimes Y_0(x_2)) \cdots (1^{\otimes (n-1)} \otimes Y_0(x_n))(A_0) + O(\hbar^{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

As $V/\hbar V$ is nondegenerate we have

$$Y_0(x_1)(1 \otimes Y_0(x_2)) \cdots (1^{\otimes (n-1)} \otimes Y_0(x_n))(A_0) \neq 0,$$

so that $Z_n^V(A) \neq 0$. This proves that Z_n^V is injective. ☐

The following is a reformulation of Proposition 1.11 of [EK]:

Proposition 2.22. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra such that the nonlocal vertex algebra $V/\hbar V$ over $\mathbb{C}$ is nondegenerate. Then $\mathcal{S}$-locality defines a unique $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map*

$$\mathcal{S}(x) : V \hat{\otimes} V \to V \hat{\otimes} V \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((x)))[[\hbar]] \tag{2.34}$$

with $\mathcal{S}(x)(u \otimes v) = F(v, u, x)$ for $u, v \in V$ as in Definition 2.15 and $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \mathcal{S})$ carries the structure of an $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebra.

Next, we study modules and quasi modules for $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebras.

Definition 2.23. Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra. A *V-module* is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module W, equipped with a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map

$$Y_W : V \to \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W) \subset (\mathrm{End} W)[[x, x^{-1}]]$$

satisfying the conditions that $Y_W(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1_W$ and that for $u, v \in V$, $w \in W$ and for every positive integer n, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(x_0 + x_2)^l Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2) w \equiv (x_0 + x_2)^l Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2) w \tag{2.35}$$

modulo $\hbar^n W[[x_0^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]]$. We define a notion of *quasi V-module* by replacing the $\hbar$-adic weak associativity with the following axiom: For $u, v \in V$, $w \in W$ and for every positive integer n, there exists $0 \neq p(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ such that

$$p(x_0 + x_2, x_2) Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(v, x_2) w \equiv p(x_0 + x_2, x_2) Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2) w \tag{2.36}$$

modulo $\hbar^n W[[x_0^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]]$.

We have the following straightforward analogue of Proposition 2.11:

Proposition 2.24. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra, let W be a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module, and let Y_W be a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map from V to $(\mathrm{End}W)[[x,x^{-1}]]$. Then (W, Y_W) is a (quasi) V-module if and only if for every positive integer n, $W/\hbar^n W$ is a (quasi) $V/\hbar^n V$-module.*

The following is an $\hbar$-adic version of a result of [Li4]:

Proposition 2.25. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra, let*

$$m \in \mathbb{Z},\ u, v, c^{(0)}, c^{(1)}, \cdots \in V,\ A(x) \in V\hat{\otimes}V\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$$

such that $\lim_{j\to\infty} c^{(j)} = 0$*, and let (W, Y_W) be a V-module. If*

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1-x_2)^m Y(u,x_1)Y(v,x_2) &- (-x_2+x_1)^m Y(x_2,x_1)(A(x))\\ &= \sum_{j\geq 0} Y(c^{(j)},x_2)\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^j x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1-x_2)^m Y_W(u,x_1)Y_W(v,x_2) &- (-x_2+x_1)^m Y_W(x_2,x_1)(A(x))\\ &= \sum_{j\geq 0} Y_W(c^{(j)},x_2)\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^j x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

If (W, Y_W) is a faithful V-module, the converse also holds.

Proof. For any positive integer n, $V/\hbar^n V$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and $W/\hbar^n W$ is a $(V/\hbar^n V)$-module. It follows from [Li4] (Proposition 6.7) that after applied to a vector in W, (2.38) holds modulo $\hbar^n W$. With W topologically free, W is $\hbar$-adically complete and separated. Then (2.38) must hold. For the converse, for any positive integer n, denote by ρ_n the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map from V to $\mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^n W)$. Clearly, $\hbar^n V \subset \ker\rho_n$. Then $V/\ker\rho_n$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and $W/\hbar^n W$ is a faithful $(V/\ker\rho_n)$-module. Again, from [Li4] (Proposition 6.7), (2.37) modulo $\ker\rho_n$ holds. For $v \in \cap_{n\geq 1}\ker\rho_n$, with W separated we have $Y_W(v,x) = 0$. As W is faithful, we must have $\cap_{n\geq 1}\ker\rho_n = 0$. Since V is $\hbar$-adically complete, (2.37) holds on V. ☐

We shall also need the following variation:

Proposition 2.26. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra, let*

$$m \in \mathbb{Z},\ u, v, c^{(0)}, c^{(1)}, \cdots \in V,\ A(x) \in V\hat{\otimes}V\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$$

such that $\lim_{j\to\infty} c^{(j)} = 0$*, and let (W, Y_W) be a V-module. If*

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1-x_2)^m Y(u,x_1)Y(v,x_2) &- (-x_2+x_1)^m Y(x_2,x_1)(A(x))\\ &= \sum_{j\geq 0} Y(c^{(j)},x_1)\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^j x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

(note that the only change is on the variable x for vertex operators $Y(c^{(j)},x)$), then

$$\begin{aligned}&(x_1-x_2)^m Y_W(u,x_1)Y_W(v,x_2)-(-x_2+x_1)^m Y_W(x_2,x_1)(A(x))\\&\quad=\sum_{j\geq 0} Y_W(c^{(j)},x_1)\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^j x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{2.40}$$

If (W,Y_W) is a faithful V-module, the converse also holds.

Proof. Let (U,Y_U) be a V-module, e.g., $U=V$ or $U=W$. For any $v\in V$, $w\in U$ and for any $n\geq 1$, with $U/\hbar^n U$ a module for $V/\hbar^n V$ viewed as a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, we have

$$Y_U(\mathcal{D}v,x)w\equiv\frac{d}{dx}Y_U(v,x)w \mod \hbar^n U.$$

Since U is separated, we have

$$Y_U(\mathcal{D}v,x)w=\frac{d}{dx}Y_U(v,x)w.$$

Using this we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j\geq 0} Y_U(c^{(j)},x_1)\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^j x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)\\
=\ &\sum_{j\geq 0}\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^j\left(Y_U(c^{(j)},x_2)x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)\right)\\
=\ &\sum_{j\geq 0}\sum_{i=0}^{j}\frac{1}{(j-i)!}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{j-i}Y_U(c^{(j)},x_2)\right)\frac{1}{i!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^i x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)\\
=\ &\sum_{j\geq 0}\sum_{i=0}^{j}\frac{1}{(j-i)!}Y_U(\mathcal{D}^{j-i}c^{(j)},x_2)\frac{1}{i!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^i x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)\\
=\ &\sum_{i\geq 0}\sum_{r\geq 0}\frac{1}{r!}Y_U(\mathcal{D}^r c^{(r+i)},x_2)\frac{1}{i!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^i x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Notice that for $i\geq 0$, $\sum_{r\geq 0}\frac{1}{r!}\mathcal{D}^r c^{(r+i)}\in V$ (as V is $\hbar$-adically complete). Then it follows from Proposition 2.25. □

3 Some technical results

In this section we present certain technical results which we need in Section 4. In particular, we study $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebras and subalgebras generated by subsets of an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra.

Definition 3.1. Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra. An *$\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra* is a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule containing $\mathbf{1}$ such that $(U, Y, \mathbf{1})$ carries the structure of an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra. In particular, U is a topologically free submodule.

We say that a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule U of an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra V is *Y-closed* if $u_m v \in U$ for all $u, v \in U,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$. (This is to distinguish the algebraic closedness from the topological closedness.)

Remark 3.2. Let U be a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule of a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module V. With $\hbar^n U \subset U \cap \hbar^n V$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that the induced topology on U from V (with the $\hbar$-adic topology) coincides with the $\hbar$-adic topology of U if and only if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U \cap \hbar^k V \subset \hbar^n U$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra and let U be a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule satisfying the conditions that $\mathbf{1} \in U$, U is Y-closed, and that the induced topology on U from V coincides with its own $\hbar$-adic topology. In addition we assume that U is $\hbar$-adically complete. Then U is an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra of V.*

Proof. Notice that as a submodule of V, U is torsion-free and separated. Since U is also $\hbar$-adically complete, U is topologically free. Let $u, v \in U$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From assumption, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U \cap \hbar^k V \subset \hbar^n U$. With $u, v \in U \subset V$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ being fixed, there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u_m v \in \hbar^k V$ for $m \geq r$. Then

$$u_m v \in U \cap \hbar^k V \subset \hbar^n U \quad \text{for } m \geq r.$$

That is,

$$Y(u + \hbar^n U, x)(v + \hbar^n U) \in (U/\hbar^n U)((x)).$$

Furthermore, let $w \in V$. By $\hbar$-adic weak associativity, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(x_0 + x_2)^l Y(u, x_0 + x_2) Y(v, x_2) w \equiv (x_0 + x_2)^l Y(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2) w \pmod{\hbar^k V}.$$

Because U is Y-closed and $\hbar$-adically complete, and because $U \cap \hbar^k V \subset \hbar^n U$, we have

$$(x_0 + x_2)^l Y(u, x_0 + x_2) Y(v, x_2) w \equiv (x_0 + x_2)^l Y(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2) w \pmod{\hbar^n U}.$$

Now, $(U, Y, \mathbf{1})$ satisfies all the axioms for an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra. □

Definition 3.4. Let M be a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module. For any $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule K, we define

$$[K] = \{ w \in M \mid \hbar^n w \in K \quad \text{for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \}. \tag{3.1}$$

The following two lemmas are straightforward:

Lemma 3.5. *Let M be a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module and let K be a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule such that $[K] = K$. Then $K \cap \hbar^n M = \hbar^n K$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, the induced topology on K from M (with the $\hbar$-adic topology) coincides with the $\hbar$-adic topology of K.*

Lemma 3.6. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra and let K be a Y-closed $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule. Then both $[K]$ and the $\hbar$-adic completion $\widehat{K}$ of K in V are Y-closed.*

Furthermore, we have:

Proposition 3.7. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra and let K be a Y-closed $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule containing $\mathbf{1}$. Then $\left[\widehat{[K]}\right] = \widehat{[K]}$ and $\widehat{[K]}$ is an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra of V.*

Proof. Since $[[K]] = [K]$, by Lemma 3.5 we have $[K] \cap \hbar^n V = \hbar^n [K]$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\widehat{[K]}$ is $\hbar$-adically complete with respect to its only $\hbar$-adic topology. Then $\widehat{[K]}$ is topologically free. From Lemma 3.6, $\widehat{[K]}$ is Y-closed. If we can prove $\left[\widehat{[K]}\right] = \widehat{[K]}$, then by Proposition 3.3, $\widehat{[K]}$ is an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra of V. Let $u \in \left[\widehat{[K]}\right]$. By definition, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\hbar^k u \in \widehat{[K]}$. Furthermore, there exists a Cauchy sequence $\{a_n\}$ in $[K]$ with $\hbar^k u$ as the limit. Then there exists $r \geq 1$ such that $a_n - \hbar^k u \in \hbar^k V$ for $n \geq r$. As V is torsion-free, for each $n \geq r$, there exists uniquely $b_n \in V$ such that $a_n = \hbar^k b_n$. As $[[K]] = [K]$ and $\hbar^n b_n = a_n \in [K]$, we have $b_n \in [K]$ for $n \geq r$. Using the fact that V is torsion-free, we see that $\{b_n\}_{n \geq r}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $[K]$, converging to u. Thus $u \in \widehat{[K]}$. This proves $\left[\widehat{[K]}\right] = \widehat{[K]}$, concluding the proof. $\square$

Now, let U be a subset of an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra V. Let $U^{(1)}$ be the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-span of $U \cup \{\mathbf{1}\}$ and then inductively define $U^{(n+1)}$ for $n \geq 1$ to be the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-span of the vectors $a_m b$ for $a, b \in U^{(n)}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. From definition we have $\mathbf{1} \in U^{(n)} \subset U^{(n+1)}$ for $n \geq 1$. Set

$$\langle U \rangle^o = \left[\cup_{n \geq 1} U^{(n)}\right] \subset V \tag{3.2}$$

and furthermore, set

$$\langle U \rangle = \widehat{\langle U \rangle^o} \quad \text{(the $\hbar$-adic completion)}. \tag{3.3}$$

We have:

Proposition 3.8. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra and let U be a subset of V. Then $\langle U \rangle$ is an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra satisfying the condition that $U \subset \langle U \rangle$ and $[\langle U \rangle] = \langle U \rangle$. Furthermore, any $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra H, which satisfies the condition that $U \subset H$ and $[H] = H$, contains $\langle U \rangle$.*

Proof. It follows from the definition that $\cup_{n \geq 1} U^{(n)}$ is Y-closed and contains $\{\mathbf{1}\} \cup U$. Then the first assertion follows from Proposition 3.7. Let H be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra such that $[H] = H$ and $U \subset H$. Then $\cup_{n \geq 1} U^{(n)} \subset H$. Furthermore, we have

$$\langle U \rangle^o = \left[\cup_{n \geq 1} U^{(n)}\right] \subset [H] = H.$$

Since $[\langle U \rangle^o] = \langle U \rangle^o$, the induced topology on $\langle U \rangle^o$ (from H or V) coincides with its own $\hbar$-adic topology. Then $\langle U \rangle \subset H$ as H is $\hbar$-adically complete. $\square$

We shall need the following result later:

Lemma 3.9. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra with a generating subset U in the sense that $V=\langle U\rangle$ and let (W,Y_W) be a quasi V-module equipped with a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-linear operator $\mathcal{D}$ such that*

$$[\mathcal{D},Y_W(u,x)]=\frac{d}{dx}Y_W(u,x)\quad \text{for } u\in U. \tag{3.4}$$

Assume that w is a vector of W such that $\mathcal{D}w=0$. Then $Y(v,x)w\in V[[x]]$ for all $v\in V$ and the linear map ϕ defined by $\phi(v)=v_{-1}w$ for $v\in V$ is a V-module homomorphism.

Proof. For every positive integer n, $V/\hbar^nV$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and $W/\hbar^nW$ is a quasi $(V/\hbar^nV)$-module. Set

$$K_n=(\cup_{k\geq 1}U^{(k)}+\hbar^nV)/\hbar^nV\subset V/\hbar^nV.$$

It is clear that K_n is a nonlocal vertex subalgebra of $V/\hbar^nV$. Let $\phi_n:K_n\to W/\hbar^nW$ be the map induced from ϕ. From [Li4] (Proposition 6.2), we have

$$v_rw\in\hbar^nW\quad \text{for } v\in\cup_{k\geq 1}U^{(k)},\ \ r\geq 0$$

and ϕ_n is a K_n-module homomorphism, which amounts to

$$\phi(u_mv)\equiv u_m\phi(v)\mod \hbar^nW\quad \text{for } u,v\in\cup_{k\geq 1}U^{(k)},\ m\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

As $\cap_{n\geq 1}\hbar^nW=0$, we have $v_rw=0$ for $v\in\cup_{k\geq 1}U^{(k)},\ r\geq 0$ and

$$\phi(u_mv)=u_m\phi(v)\quad \text{for } u,v\in\cup_{k\geq 1}U^{(k)},\ m\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Now, let $u,v\in[\cup_{k\geq 1}U^{(k)}]$. There exists $t\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\hbar^tu,\hbar^tv\in\cup_{k\geq 1}U^{(k)}$. Then

$$\hbar^tv_rw=0\quad \text{for } r\geq 0\ \text{ and }\ \hbar^{2t}\phi(u_mv)=\hbar^{2t}u_m\phi(v)\quad \text{for } m\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since W is torsion-free, we have $v_rw=0$ for $r\geq 0$ and $\phi(u_mv)=u_m\phi(v)$ for $m\in\mathbb{Z}$. Recall that $\langle U\rangle$ is the completion of $[\cup_{k\geq 1}U^{(k)}]$. Let $u^{(i)},v^{(i)}$ $(i\geq 1)$ be sequences in $[\cup_{k\geq 1}U^{(k)}]$, converging to $u,v\in V$, respectively. We have

$$v^{(i)}_rw=0\quad \text{for } r\geq 0\ \text{ and }\ \phi(u^{(i)}_mv^{(j)})=u^{(i)}_m\phi(v^{(j)})\quad \text{for } i,j\geq 1,\ m\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

From this we see that for every positive integer n,

$$v_rw\in\hbar^nW\quad \text{for } r\geq 0\quad \text{and}\quad \phi(u_mv)-u_m\phi(v)\in\hbar^nW\quad \text{for } m\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Again as $\cap_{n\geq 1}\hbar^nW=0$, we get

$$v_rw=0\quad \text{for } r\geq 0\quad \text{and}\quad \phi(u_mv)=u_m\phi(v)\quad \text{for } m\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Now we have proved

$$Y(v,x)w\in V[[x]],\quad \phi(u_mv)=u_m\phi(v)\quad \text{for all } u,v\in\langle U\rangle,\ m\in\mathbb{Z},$$

as needed. □

Let W be a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module and let A be a $\mathbb{C}$-subspace of W. Notice that for any sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ in A, $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n\hbar^n \in W$. Set

$$A[[\hbar]]' = \left\{ \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n\hbar^n \mid a_n \in A \right\}, \tag{3.5}$$

which is a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule of W.

Definition 3.10. Let A and B be subsets of an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra V. We say that the ordered pair (A, B) is *$\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local* if for any $a \in A,\ b \in B$, there exists

$$P(a, b; x) \in ((\mathbb{C}B) \otimes (\mathbb{C}A) \otimes \mathbb{C}((x)))\,[[\hbar]]' \subset V\hat{\otimes}V\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x)))[[\hbar]]$$

such that

$$Y(a, x_1)Y(b, x_2) \sim Y(x_2, x_1)P(a, b; x_2 - x_1). \tag{3.6}$$

We say that a subset A of V is *$\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local* if (A, A) is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local.

We have the following technical result:

Lemma 3.11. *Let A and B be $\mathbb{C}$-subspaces of V such that (A, B) is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local. Then $(A, B^{(2)})$ and $(A^{(2)}, B)$ are $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local.*

Proof. From definition, there exists a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map

$$\mathcal{S}(x) : B[[\hbar]]'\hat{\otimes}A[[\hbar]]' \to B[[\hbar]]'\hat{\otimes}A[[\hbar]]'\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$$

such that for $a \in A,\ b \in B$,

$$Y(a, x_1)Y(b, x_2) \sim Y(x_2, x_1)\mathcal{S}(x_2 - x_1)(b \otimes a). \tag{3.7}$$

We have the maps

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}^{32}(x) &: B[[\hbar]]'\hat{\otimes}A[[\hbar]]'\hat{\otimes}B[[\hbar]]' \to B[[\hbar]]'\hat{\otimes}A[[\hbar]]'\hat{\otimes}B[[\hbar]]'\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]],\\
\mathcal{S}^{13}(x) &: B[[\hbar]]'\hat{\otimes}B[[\hbar]]'\hat{\otimes}A[[\hbar]]' \to B[[\hbar]]'\hat{\otimes}B[[\hbar]]'\hat{\otimes}A[[\hbar]]'\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]].
\end{aligned}$$

Note that by Proposition 2.19, (3.7) is equivalent to

$$Y(a, x)b = e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(-x)\mathcal{S}(-x)(b \otimes a).$$

Let $a \in A,\ u, v \in B$. Using Lemma 2.13 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(a, x)Y(u, z)v &\sim Y(z)(1 \otimes Y(x))(\mathcal{S}(z - x)(u \otimes a) \otimes v)\\
&= Y(z)(1 \otimes e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(-x))\mathcal{S}^{32}(-x)(\mathcal{S}(z - x)(u \otimes a) \otimes v)\\
&= e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(z - x)(1 \otimes Y(-x))\mathcal{S}^{32}(-x)(\mathcal{S}(z - x)(u \otimes a) \otimes v)\\
&\sim e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(-x)(Y(z) \otimes 1)\mathcal{S}^{32}(-x)(\mathcal{S}(z - x)(u \otimes a) \otimes v)\\
&\sim e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(-x)(Y(z) \otimes 1)\mathcal{S}^{32}(-x)(\mathcal{S}(-x + z)(u \otimes a) \otimes v)
\end{aligned}$$

in $V[[x^{\pm 1}, z^{\pm 1}]]$. In view of Remark 2.18 we have

$$Y(a,x)Y(u,z)v = e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(-x)(Y(z)\otimes 1)\mathcal{S}^{32}(-x)(\mathcal{S}(-x+z)(u\otimes a)\otimes v).$$

It follows that $(A, B^{(2)})$ is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local.

Similarly, for $a, b \in A,\ u \in U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Y(Y(a,x_0)b,x)u &\sim_+ Y(a,x_0+x)Y(b,x)u \\
&= Y(a,x_0+x)e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(-x)\mathcal{S}(-x)(u\otimes b) \\
&= e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(a,x_0)Y(-x)\mathcal{S}(-x)(u\otimes b) \\
&\sim_+ e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(-x)(1\otimes Y(x_0))\mathcal{S}^{13}(-x-x_0)(\mathcal{S}(-x)(u\otimes b)\otimes a)
\end{aligned}$$

in $V[[x_0^{\pm 1}, x^{\pm 1}]]$, which by Remark 2.18 implies

$$Y(Y(a,x_0)b,x)u = e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(-x)(1\otimes Y(x_0))\mathcal{S}^{13}(-x-x_0)(\mathcal{S}(-x)(u\otimes b)\otimes a).$$

It follows that $(A^{(2)}, B)$ is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local. □

Now, we have (cf. [Li5], [LTW]):

Proposition 3.12. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra and let U be an $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local subset such that $V = (\cup_{n\geq 1}U^{(n)})[[\hbar]]'$. Then V is an $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra.*

Proof. We must prove that V as a subset of V is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local. Because U is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local, it follows from Lemma 3.11 (and induction) that $\cup_{n\geq 1}U^{(n)}$ is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local. Let $u, v \in V$. From assumption, we have

$$u = \sum_{i\geq 0} a(i)\hbar^i, \quad v = \sum_{j\geq 0} b(j)\hbar^j \quad \text{with } a(i), b(j) \in \cup_{n\geq 1}U^{(n)}.$$

For any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists

$$A_{i,j}(x) \in V\hat{\otimes}V\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$$

such that

$$Y(a(i),x_1)Y(b(j),x_2) \sim Y(x_2,x_1)A_{i,j}(x_2-x_1).$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}} A_{i,j}(x)\hbar^{i+j} \in V\hat{\otimes}V\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$$

and

$$Y(u,x_1)Y(v,x_2) \sim Y(x_2,x_1)\left(\sum_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}} A_{i,j}(x_2-x_1)\right).$$

This proves that V is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local. □

Using the proof of Proposition 2.8 in [Li5] and Proposition 3.12, we immediately have:

Proposition 3.13. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra, let U be an $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local subset, and let W be a V-module with $e \in W$ such that $Y_W(u,x)e \in V[[x]]$ for $u \in U$. Set $K = (\cup_{n\geq 1} U^{(n)})[[\hbar]]' \subset V$. Then $Y_W(v,x)e \in V[[x]]$ for all $v \in K$. Furthermore, the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map $\theta : K \to W$, defined by $\theta(v) = v_{-1}e$ for $v \in K$, satisfies that*

$$\phi(Y(u,x)v) = Y_W(u,x)\phi(v) \quad \text{for } u, v \in K.$$

4 A general construction of $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras

In this section we give a general construction of $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebras and $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebras by using what we call $\hbar$-adic quasi-compatible sets of vertex operators on topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-modules.

We start by recalling from [Li4] (cf. [Li2]) the general construction of nonlocal vertex algebras from quasi-compatible sets of formal vertex operators. Let W^0 be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. Set

$$\mathcal{E}(W^0) = \operatorname{Hom}(W^0, W^0((x))). \tag{4.1}$$

The identity operator on W^0, denoted by 1_{W^0}, is a typical element of $\mathcal{E}(W^0)$, and the formal differential operator $\frac{d}{dx}$ is an endomorphism of $\mathcal{E}(W^0)$.

Definition 4.1. An (ordered) sequence $(\psi^{(1)}(x), \dots, \psi^{(r)}(x))$ in $\mathcal{E}(W^0)$ is said to be *quasi-compatible* if there exists $0 \neq p(x_1,x_2) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2]$ such that

$$\left(\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq r} p(x_i,x_j)\right) \psi^{(1)}(x_1)\cdots\psi^{(r)}(x_r) \in \operatorname{Hom}(W^0, W^0((x_1,\dots,x_r))). \tag{4.2}$$

A subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W^0)$ is said to be *quasi-compatible* if every (ordered) finite sequence in U is quasi-compatible. We also define a notion of *compatibility* by assuming that $p(x_1,x_2)$ is of the form $(x_1-x_2)^k$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume that $(a(x), b(x))$ is a quasi compatible pair in $\mathcal{E}(W^0)$. By definition, there exists $0 \neq p(x_1,x_2) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2]$ such that

$$p(x_1,x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2) \in \operatorname{Hom}(W^0, W^0((x_1,x_2))). \tag{4.3}$$

Recall from [Li4] that

$$\iota_{x_1,x_2}: \quad \mathbb{C}_*(x_1,x_2) \to \mathbb{C}((x_1))((x_2))$$

is the algebra-embedding that preserves each element of $\mathbb{C}[[x_1,x_2]]$, where $\mathbb{C}_*(x_1,x_2)$ denotes the algebra extension of $\mathbb{C}[[x_1,x_2]]$ by inverting every nonzero polynomial. We have

$$\iota_{x,x_0}(1/p(x_0+x,x))\left(p(x_1,x)a(x_1)b(x)\right)|_{x_1=x+x_0} \in \left(\operatorname{Hom}(W^0, W^0((x)))\right)((x_0)).$$

Definition 4.2. Let $(a(x), b(x))$ be a quasi-compatible pair in $\mathcal{E}(W^0)$. Define $a(x)_n b(x)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, elements of $\mathcal{E}(W^0)$, in terms of generating function

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)b(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a(x)_n b(x) x_0^{-n-1}$$

by

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)b(x) = \iota_{x,x_0}(1/p(x_0 + x, x)) \left(p(x_1, x)a(x_1)b(x)\right)|_{x_1=x+x_0},$$

where $p(x_1, x_2)$ is any nonzero polynomial such that (4.3) holds.

A quasi-compatible subspace U of $\mathcal{E}(W^0)$ is said to be *$Y_{\mathcal{E}}$-closed* if

$$a(x)_n b(x) \in U \quad \text{for } a(x), b(x) \in U,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.4}$$

We have ([Li4], Theorem 2.19; cf. [Li2]):

Theorem 4.3. *Let W^0 be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ and let U be any quasi-compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}(W^0)$. There exists a (unique) smallest $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$-closed quasi-compatible subspace $\langle U\rangle$ of $\mathcal{E}(W^0)$, containing U and 1_{W^0}, and $(\langle U\rangle, Y_{\mathcal{E}}, 1_{W^0})$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with U as a generating subset and W^0 is a quasi-module for $\langle U\rangle$ with $Y_{W^0}(\alpha(x), x_0) = \alpha(x_0)$ for $\alpha(x) \in \langle U\rangle$. Furthermore, if U is compatible, W^0 is a module for $\langle U\rangle$.*

Definition 4.4. Let W^0 be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. A subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W^0)$ is said to be *$\mathcal{S}$-local* if for any $a(x), b(x) \in U$, there exist $a^{(i)}(x), b^{(i)}(x) \in U,\ f_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x))$ $(i = 1, \dots, r)$ such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k a(x_1)b(x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2 - x_1) b^{(i)}(x_2) a^{(i)}(x_1) \tag{4.5}$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

From [Li4] (Lemma 3.2), every $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W^0)$ is quasi compatible. In fact, the same proof shows that every $\mathcal{S}$-local subset is compatible. Furthermore, we have (see [Li4], Theorem 5.8):

Theorem 4.5. *Let W^0 be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ and let U be an $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W^0)$. Then the nonlocal vertex algebra $\langle U\rangle$ generated by U is a weak quantum vertex algebra with W^0 as a faithful module.*

Now, let W be a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module. Recall from Section 2 that $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ is the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule of $(\mathrm{End}W)[[x, x^{-1}]]$, consisting of each $a(x) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} a_m x^{-m-1}$ satisfying the condition that for any $w \in W,\ n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$a_m w \in \hbar^n W \quad \text{for } m \geq k.$$

For the rest of this section we assume that $W = W^0[[\hbar]]$ *is a fixed topologically free* $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$*-module.* Then W is torsion-free, separated in the sense that $\cap_{n\geq 1}\hbar^n W = 0$, and $\hbar$-adically complete. We have the following projective inverse system

$$0 \leftarrow W/\hbar W \leftarrow W/\hbar^2 W \leftarrow W/\hbar^3 W \leftarrow \cdots \tag{4.6}$$

(equipped with the canonical maps from $W/\hbar^{n+1}W$ to $W/\hbar^n W$ for $n \geq 0$) with W as an inverse limit. Let F be an endomorphism of W. For every nonnegative integer n, F gives rise to an endomorphism F_n of $W/\hbar^n W$. Then we have an endomorphism $\{F_n\}$ of the projective inverse system (4.6). Conversely, given any endomorphism, a sequence $\{f_n\}$, of the inverse system (4.6), we have an endomorphism f of W.

The $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module $\mathrm{End}W$ can be naturally identified with $(\mathrm{End}W^0)[[\hbar]]$ and we have

$$(\mathrm{End}W)[[x, x^{-1}]] = (\mathrm{End}W^0)[[x, x^{-1}]][[\hbar]],$$

which is topologically free. Furthermore, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W) = \mathcal{E}(W^0)[[\hbar]], \tag{4.7}$$

which is also topologically free.

Lemma 4.6. *For* $a(x) \in (\mathrm{End}W)[[x, x^{-1}]]$*, if* $\hbar^k a(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ *for some* $k \in \mathbb{N}$*, then* $a(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$*.*

Proof. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $w \in W$, with $\hbar^k a(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$, there exists $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\hbar^k a_m w \in \hbar^{k+n} W$ for $m \geq q$, where $a(x) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} a_m x^{-m-1}$. Since W is torsion-free, we have $a_m w \in \hbar^n W$ for $m \geq q$. This proves $a(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. □

Remark 4.7. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a canonical $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map

$$\tilde{\pi}_n : (\mathrm{End}W)[[x, x^{-1}]] \to (\mathrm{End}(W/\hbar^n W))[[x, x^{-1}]]. \tag{4.8}$$

As W is torsion-free, we have

$$\ker \tilde{\pi}_n = \hbar^n (\mathrm{End}W)[[x, x^{-1}]].$$

Recall from Section 2 that an element $a(x)$ of $(\mathrm{End}W)[[x, x^{-1}]]$ lies in $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ if and only if $\tilde{\pi}_n(a(x)) \in \mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^n W)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have canonical $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module maps

$$\pi_n : \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W) \to \mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^n W) \tag{4.9}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where by Lemma 4.6

$$\ker \pi_n = \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W) \cap \hbar^n (\mathrm{End}W)[[x, x^{-1}]] = \hbar^n \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W). \tag{4.10}$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a canonical $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map

$$\theta_n : \mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^{n+1} W) \to \mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^n W).$$

We have the following projective inverse system

$$0 \leftarrow \mathcal{E}(W/\hbar W) \leftarrow \mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^2 W) \leftarrow \mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^3 W) \leftarrow \cdots \tag{4.11}$$

with $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ equipped with $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module maps π_n as an inverse limit. Then for any sequence $\{\psi_n(x)\}$ with $\psi_n(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^n W)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfying the condition that $\theta_n(\psi_{n+1}(x)) = \psi_n(x)$, there exists a unique $\psi(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ such that $\pi_n(\psi(x)) = \psi_n(x)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 4.8. A finite sequence $a^1(x), \dots, a^r(x)$ in $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ is said to be *$\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible* if for every positive integer n, the sequence $\pi_n(a^1(x)), \dots, \pi_n(a^r(x))$ in $\mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^n W)$ is quasi-compatible. A subset U of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ is said to be *$\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible* if every finite sequence in U is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible. Correspondingly, we define notions of *$\hbar$-adically compatible* sequence and *$\hbar$-adically compatible* subset.

Let r be a positive integer. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a canonical $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map

$$\tilde{\pi}_n^{(r)} : (\mathrm{End} W)[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]] \to (\mathrm{End}(W/\hbar^n W))[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]],$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_n^{(1)} = \tilde{\pi}_n$ defined in (4.8). We also have a canonical $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map:

$$\tilde{\theta}_n^{(r)} : (\mathrm{End}(W/\hbar^{n+1} W))[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]] \to (\mathrm{End}(W/\hbar^n W))[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]].$$

It is clear that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\tilde{\pi}_n^{(r)} = \tilde{\theta}_n^{(r)} \circ \tilde{\pi}_{n+1}^{(r)}. \tag{4.12}$$

For any vector space U over $\mathbb{C}$, we set

$$\mathcal{E}^{(r)}(U) = \mathrm{Hom}(U, U((x_1, \dots, x_r))), \tag{4.13}$$

which is naturally a $\mathbb{C}((x_1, \dots, x_r))$-module.

Definition 4.9. Let r be a positive integer. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\mathcal{E}_n^{(r)}(W)$ to be the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule of $(\mathrm{End} W)[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]]$, consisting of each formal series

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(m_1, \dots, m_r) x_1^{-m_1 - 1} \cdots x_r^{-m_r - 1},$$

satisfying the condition that

$$\tilde{\pi}_n^{(r)}(\psi(x_1, \dots, x_r)) \in \mathcal{E}^{(r)}(W/\hbar^n W),$$

or equivalently, for every $w \in W$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\psi(m_1, \dots, m_r) w \in \hbar^n W \quad \text{whenever } m_i \geq k \ \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

We see that $\mathcal{E}_n^{(r)}(W)$ are also $\mathbb{C}((x_1,\dots,x_r))$-modules and we have

$$\mathcal{E}_0^{(r)}(W)\supset\mathcal{E}_1^{(r)}(W)\cdots\supset\mathcal{E}_n^{(r)}(W)\supset\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(r)}(W)\supset\cdots.$$

In terms of $\mathcal{E}_n^{(r)}(W)$, a sequence $\psi^1(x),\dots,\psi^r(x)$ in $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible if and only if for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, there exists $0\neq p(x,y)\in\mathbb{C}[x,y]$ such that

$$\left(\prod_{1\le i<j\le r}p(x_i,x_j)\right)\psi^1(x_1)\cdots\psi^r(x_r)\in\mathcal{E}_n^{(r)}(W).$$

Generalizing the maps π_n and θ_n, we have canonical $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module maps for $n\in\mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{array}{rl}\pi_n^{(r)}: & \mathcal{E}_n^{(r)}(W)\to\mathcal{E}^{(r)}(W/\hbar^nW),\\ \theta_n^{(r)}: & \mathcal{E}^{(r)}(W/\hbar^{n+1}W)\to\mathcal{E}^{(r)}(W/\hbar^nW),\end{array}$$

which satisfy

$$\pi_n^{(r)}=\theta_n^{(r)}\circ\pi_{n+1}^{(r)}.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{E}_\hbar^{(r)}(W)=\cap_{n\ge1}\mathcal{E}_n^{(r)}(W)\subset(\mathrm{End}W)[[x_1^{\pm1},\dots,x_r^{\pm1}]]. \tag{4.14}$$

Note that if $(a(x),b(x))$ is an $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible pair in $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$, then for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $(\pi_n(a(x)),\pi_n(b(x)))$ is a quasi-compatible pair in $\mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^nW)$ and hence $\pi_n(a(x))_m\pi_n(b(x))$ are defined for all $m\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $(a(x),b(x))$ be an $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible pair in $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. We have*

$$\theta_{n+1}\left(\pi_{n+1}(a(x))_m\pi_{n+1}(b(x))\right)=\pi_n(a(x))_m\pi_n(b(x)) \tag{4.15}$$

for $n\in\mathbb{N},\ m\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. For any fixed $n\in\mathbb{N}$, let $p(x,y)\in\mathbb{C}[x,y]$ be a nonzero polynomial such that

$$p(x_1,x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2)\in\mathcal{E}_{n+1}^{(2)}(W)\subset\mathcal{E}_n^{(2)}(W).$$

From Definition 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}p(x_0+x,x)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\pi_n(a(x)),x_0)\pi_n(b(x)) &= \left(p(x_1,x)\pi_n(a(x_1))\pi_n(b(x))\right)|_{x_1=x+x_0},\\ &= \pi_n^{(2)}\left(p(x_1,x)a(x_1)b(x)\right)|_{x_1=x+x_0},\\ p(x_0+x,x)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\pi_{n+1}(a(x)),x_0)\pi_{n+1}(b(x)) &= \left(p(x_1,x)\pi_{n+1}(a(x_1))\pi_{n+1}(b(x))\right)|_{x_1=x+x_0}\\ &= \pi_{n+1}^{(2)}\left(p(x_1,x)a(x_1)b(x)\right)|_{x_1=x+x_0}.\end{aligned}$$

With (4.12) it follows that

$$p(x_0+x,x)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\pi_n(a(x)),x_0)\pi_n(b(x))=p(x_0+x,x)\theta_{n+1}\left(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\pi_{n+1}(a(x)),x_0)\pi_{n+1}(b(x))\right),$$

which implies

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\pi_n(a(x)),x_0)\pi_n(b(x))=\theta_{n+1}\left(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\pi_{n+1}(a(x)),x_0)\pi_{n+1}(b(x))\right),$$

as desired. $\square$

Using Lemma 4.10 we define the following partial operations on $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$:

Definition 4.11. Let $(a(x), b(x))$ be an $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible (order) pair in $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$a(x)_m b(x) = \lim_{\leftarrow} \pi_n(a(x))_m \pi_n(b(x)) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W). \tag{4.16}$$

Form the generating function

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)b(x) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} (a(x)_m b(x)) x_0^{-m-1}. \tag{4.17}$$

From definition, for every positive integer n we have

$$\pi_n(a(x)_m b(x)) = \pi_n(a(x))_m \pi_n(b(x)) \tag{4.18}$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Namely,

$$\pi_n\left(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)b(x)\right) = Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\pi_n(a(x)), x_0)\pi_n(b(x)). \tag{4.19}$$

Recall that $\mathcal{E}_\hbar^{(2)}(W)$ consists of each $\psi(x_1, x_2) \in (\mathrm{End}W)[[x_1^{\pm1}, x_2^{\pm1}]]$, such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{\pi}_n^{(2)}(\psi) \in \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(W/\hbar^n W)$.

Proposition 4.12. *Let $a(x), b(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. Assume that there exists $p(x_1, x_2, \hbar) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \hbar]$ with $p(x_1, x_2, 0) \neq 0$ such that*

$$p(x_1, x_2, \hbar)a(x_1)b(x_2) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar^{(2)}(W).$$

Then $(a(x), b(x))$ is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible and

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)b(x) = \iota_{x,x_0,\hbar}(1/p(x_0 + x, x, \hbar))\left(p(x_1, x, \hbar)a(x_1)b(x)\right)|_{x_1=x+x_0}.$$

Proof. Set

$$f(x_1, x_2) = p(x_1, x_2, 0) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2], \quad A = p(x_1, x_2, \hbar)a(x_1)b(x_2) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar^{(2)}(W).$$

Then

$$p(x_1, x_2, \hbar) = f(x_1, x_2) - \hbar g(x_1, x_2, \hbar)$$

for some $g(x_1, x_2, \hbar) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \hbar]$. We have

$$a(x_1)b(x_2) = \iota_{x_1,x_2,\hbar}(1/p(x_1, x_2, \hbar))A = \sum_{k\geq 0} \iota_{x_1,x_2}(f(x_1, x_2)^{-k-1})g(x_1, x_2, \hbar)^k \hbar^k A.$$

For any positive integer n, we have

$$f(x_1, x_2)^n a(x_1)b(x_2) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(x_1, x_2)^{n-k-1} g(x_1, x_2, \hbar)^k \hbar^k A \quad \mathrm{mod} \hbar^n (\mathrm{End}W)[[x_1^{\pm1}, x_2^{\pm1}]],$$

so that

$$\tilde{\pi}_n^{(2)}\left(f(x_1,x_2)^n a(x_1)b(x_2)\right) \in \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(W/\hbar^n W), \tag{4.20}$$

as $\tilde{\pi}_n^{(2)}(A) \in \mathcal{E}^{(2)}(W/\hbar^n W)$. This proves that $(a(x), b(x))$ is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible. Furthermore, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0+x,x)^n \pi_n(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x)) &= \left(f(x_1,x)^n \pi_n(a(x_1))\pi_n(b(x))\right)|_{x_1=x_2+x_0} \\ &= \pi_n^{(2)}\left(f(x_1,x)^n a(x_1)b(x)\right)|_{x_1=x_2+x_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_n^{(2)}\iota_{x,x_0,\hbar}(p(x_0+x,x,\hbar)^{-1})(p(x_1,x,\hbar)a(x_1)b(x))|_{x_1=x+x_0} \\ =\ &\pi_n^{(2)}\iota_{x,x_0,\hbar}(p(x_0+x,x,\hbar)^{-1}f(x_0+x,x)^{-n})(p(x_1,x,\hbar)f(x_1,x)^n a(x_1)b(x))|_{x_1=x+x_0} \\ =\ &\pi_n^{(2)}\iota_{x,x_0,\hbar}(p(x_0+x,x,\hbar)^{-1}f(x_0+x,x)^{-n})p(x_0+x,x,\hbar)(f(x_1,x)^n a(x_1)b(x))|_{x_1=x+x_0} \\ =\ &\iota_{x,x_0,\hbar}(f(x_0+x,x)^{-n})\pi_n^{(2)}(f(x_1,x)^n a(x_1)b(x))|_{x_1=x+x_0} \\ =\ &\pi_n\left(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x)\right), \end{aligned}$$

from which the second part follows. □

An $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule K of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ is said to be $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$*-closed* if

$$a(x)_m b(x) \in K \quad \text{for } a(x), b(x) \in K,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proposition 4.13. *Let V be a $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$-closed $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$, containing 1_W. Suppose that $[V] = V$ and V is $\hbar$-adically complete. Then $(V, Y_{\mathcal{E}}, 1_W)$ carries the structure of an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra and W is a faithful quasi V-module with $Y_W(a(x), x_0) = a(x_0)$ for $a(x) \in V$. Furthermore, if V is $\hbar$-adically compatible, W is a module (instead of a quasi module).*

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ is topologically free. As a submodule of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$, V is torsion-free and separated. Being assumed to be $\hbar$-adically complete, V is topologically free. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as $\pi_n(a(x))_m \pi_n(b(x)) = \pi_n(a(x)_m b(x))$ for $a(x), b(x) \in V,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we see that $\pi_n(V)$ is a $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$-closed quasi-compatible $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule of $\mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^n W)$, containing 1_W. It follows from Theorem 4.3 that $\pi_n(V)$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with $W/\hbar^n W$ as a quasi module.

Now we prove that the map π_n from V to $\pi_n(V)$ reduces to a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-isomorphism from $V/\hbar^n V$ onto $\pi_n(V)$, so that $V/\hbar^n V$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $a(x) \in V$ be such that $\pi_n(a(x)) = 0$ in $\mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^n W)$. Then $a(x)W \subset \hbar^n W[[x, x^{-1}]]$. So $a(x) = \hbar^n b(x)$ for some $b(x) \in (\mathrm{End} W)[[x, x^{-1}]]$. By Lemma 4.6, $b(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. Then we have $b(x) \in [V] = V$. Thus $a(x) = \hbar^n b(x) \in \hbar^n V$. This proves that $V \cap \ker \pi_n = \hbar^n V$, which implies $V/\hbar^n V \simeq \pi_n(V) \subset \mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^n W)$. Consequently, $V/\hbar^n V$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. By Propositions 2.11 and 2.24, V is an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a quasi V-module. The last part follows from Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 2.24. □

For convenience, we call any $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra V in Proposition 4.13 an *$\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$.*

Lemma 4.14. *Let U be an $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. Then $[U]$ is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible. If U is $Y_\mathcal{E}$-closed, so is $[U]$.*

Proof. Notice that $[U] \subset \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ by Lemma 4.6. As W is torsion-free, for any $w \in W$, $s, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the relation $\hbar^s w \in \hbar^{s+n} W$ implies $w \in \hbar^n W$. Furthermore, for $\psi \in (\mathrm{End} W)[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]]$, $s, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the relation $\hbar^s \psi \in \mathcal{E}^{(r)}_{n+s}(W)$ implies $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^{(r)}_n(W)$. Let $a^1(x), \dots, a^r(x) \in [U]$. There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\hbar^k a^i(x) \in U$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. As the sequence $\hbar^k a^1(x), \dots, \hbar^k a^r(x)$ in U is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $0 \neq p(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ such that

$$\hbar^{rk} \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le r} p(x_i, x_j) \right) a^1(x_1) \cdots a^r(x_r) \in \mathcal{E}^{(r)}_{n+rk}(W),$$

which gives

$$\left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le r} p(x_i, x_j) \right) a^1(x_1) \cdots a^r(x_r) \in \mathcal{E}^{(r)}_{n}(W).$$

This proves that the sequence $a^1(x), \dots, a^r(x)$ is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible. Therefore, $[U]$ is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible.

Assume that U is $Y_\mathcal{E}$-closed. Let $a(x), b(x) \in [U]$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. By definition, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\hbar^k a(x)$, $\hbar^k b(x) \in U$. Then

$$\hbar^{2k}(a(x)_m b(x)) = (\hbar^k a(x))_m (\hbar^k b(x)) \in U.$$

Thus $a(x)_m b(x) \in [U]$. This proves that $[U]$ is $Y_\mathcal{E}$-closed. ☐

Theorem 4.15. *Let K be a maximal $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. Then $[K] = K$, K is $\hbar$-adically topologically free and $Y_\mathcal{E}$-closed. Furthermore, $(K, Y_\mathcal{E}, 1_W)$ carries the structure of an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a quasi module with $Y_W(\alpha(x), x_0) = \alpha(x_0)$ for $\alpha(x) \in K$. If K is $\hbar$-adically compatible, W is a module (instead of a quasi module).*

Proof. By Lemma 4.14, $[K]$ is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible. As K is maximal, we have $[K] \subset K$. Thus $[K] = K$. Let $a(x), b(x) \in K$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\pi_n(K)$ is quasi-compatible, then by Theorem 4.3, $\pi_n(K)$ generates a nonlocal vertex algebra $\langle \pi_n(K) \rangle$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and we have

$$\pi_n(K + \mathbb{C} a(x)_m b(x)) = \pi_n(K) + \mathbb{C} \pi_n(a(x))_m \pi_n(b(x)) \subset \langle \pi_n(K) \rangle,$$

a quasi-compatible $\mathbb{C}$-subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^n W)$. This proves that $K + \mathbb{C} a(x)_m b(x)$ is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible in $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. Again, with K maximal, we have $a(x)_m b(x) \in K$. Thus K is $Y_\mathcal{E}$-closed. Now, let $\{\psi_m(x)\}$ be a sequence in K, satisfying the condition that for any $r \geq 0$, there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $\psi_m(x) - \psi_n(x) \in \hbar^r K$ whenever $m, n \geq k$. Since $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ is $\hbar$-adically complete, the sequence $\{\psi_m(x)\}$ has a limit, say $\psi(x)$, in $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\psi_m(x) - \psi(x) \in \hbar^n \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$, which implies $\pi_n(\psi_m(x)) = \pi_n(\psi(x))$. Thus $\pi_n(\psi(x)) = \pi_n(\psi_m(x)) \in \pi_n(K)$. Consequently, $\pi_n(K + \mathbb{C}\psi(x)) \subset \pi_n(K)$, which is quasi-compatible. This proves that $K + \mathbb{C}\psi(x)$ is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible in $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ and then it follows that $\psi(x) \in K$. Thus K is $\hbar$-adically complete, so that it is topologically free. Now, in view of Proposition 4.13, K is an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra with W as a quasi module. Furthermore, W is a module if K is $\hbar$-adically compatible. □

Now, let U be an $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. In view of Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule K of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$, containing U and 1_W. Set $U^{(1)} = \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]U + \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]1_W$. Define $U^{(2)}$ to be the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-span of the vectors $a(x)_m b(x)$ for $a(x), b(x) \in U^{(1)}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since K is $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$-closed by Theorem 4.15, $U^{(2)} \subset K$. Then $U^{(2)}$ is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible. For $n \geq 1$, we inductively define $U^{(n+1)} = (U^{(n)})^{(2)}$. In this way, we obtain an increasing sequence of $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodules:

$$U^{(1)} \subset U^{(2)} \subset U^{(3)} \subset \cdots .$$

Set

$$\langle U \rangle^o = \{a(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W) \mid \hbar^k a(x) \in \cup_{n\geq 2} U^{(n)} \quad \text{for some } k \geq 1\}. \tag{4.21}$$

That is, $\langle U \rangle^o = [\cup_{n\geq 2} U^{(n)}]$. In view of Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\langle U \rangle^o \cap \hbar^n \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W) = \hbar^n \langle U \rangle^o \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \tag{4.22}$$

In particular, the induced topology of $\langle U \rangle^o$ from $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ coincides with the $\hbar$-adic topology of $\langle U \rangle^o$. Then we define $\langle U \rangle$ to be the $\hbar$-adic completion of $\langle U \rangle^o$.

Theorem 4.16. *Let U be an $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. Then $[\langle U \rangle] = \langle U \rangle$, $\langle U \rangle$ is topologically free, $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible, and $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$-closed, and $(\langle U \rangle, Y_{\mathcal{E}}, 1_W)$ carries the structure of an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra and W is a faithful quasi $\langle U \rangle$-module with $Y_W(\alpha(x), x_0) = \alpha(x_0)$ for $\alpha(x) \in \langle U \rangle$. Furthermore, for any $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra V of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$, containing U, such that $[V] = V$, we have $\langle U \rangle \subset V$.*

Proof. To prove $[\langle U \rangle] = \langle U \rangle$, let $a(x) \in [\langle U \rangle]$. By definition, $a(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ and there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $\hbar^k a(x) \in \langle U \rangle$. As $\langle U \rangle$ is the $\hbar$-adic completion of $\langle U \rangle^o$, there exists a Cauchy sequence $\{\psi_m(x)\}$ in $\langle U \rangle^o$ with $\hbar^k a(x)$ as a limit. There exists $r \geq 1$ such that

$$\psi_m(x) - \hbar^k a(x) \in \hbar^k \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W) \quad \text{for } m \geq r.$$

Then $\psi_m(x) \in \hbar^k \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ for $m \geq r$. Set $\psi_m(x) = \hbar^k \phi_m(x)$ for $m \geq r$ with $\phi_m(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. Noticing that $[\langle U \rangle^o] = \langle U \rangle^o$, we have $\phi_m(x) \in \langle U \rangle^o$ for $m \geq r$. We see that $\{\phi_m(x)\}_{m\geq r}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\langle U \rangle^o$ with $a(x)$ as a limit. Thus $a(x) \in \langle U \rangle$. This proves

$[\langle U\rangle]=\langle U\rangle$. As $\langle U\rangle$ is torsion-free, separated, and $\hbar$-adically complete by definition, $\langle U\rangle$ is topologically free. It follows from definition that $\cup_{n\ge 2}U^{(n)}$ is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible and $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$-closed. By Lemma 4.14, $\langle U\rangle^o$ $(=[\cup_{n\ge 2}U^{(n)}])$ is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible and $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$-closed. Let $\psi_1(x),\dots,\phi_r(x)$ be a sequence in $\langle U\rangle$ and let n be any positive integer. For $1\le i\le r$, there exists a sequence $\{\psi_{im}(x)\}$ in $\langle U\rangle^o$, which converges to $\psi_i(x)$. Let k be a positive integer such that

$$\psi_{im}(x)-\psi_i(x)\in\hbar^n\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)\quad\text{ for }1\le i\le r,\ m\ge k.$$

As $\langle U\rangle^o$ is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible, there exists $0\ne p(x,y)\in\mathbb{C}[x,y]$ such that

$$\pi_n\left(\prod_{1\le i<j\le r}p(x_i,x_j)\right)\phi_{1k}(x_1)\cdots\phi_{rk}(x_r)\in\mathrm{Hom}((W/\hbar^nW),(W/\hbar^nW)((x_1,\dots,x_r))).$$

Then

$$\pi_n\left(\prod_{1\le i<j\le r}p(x_i,x_j)\right)\phi_1(x_1)\cdots\phi_r(x_r)\in\mathrm{Hom}((W/\hbar^nW),(W/\hbar^nW)((x_1,\dots,x_r))).$$

This proves that $\psi_1(x),\dots,\phi_r(x)$ is $\hbar$-adically quasi-compatible. It follows from Lemma 3.6 that $\langle U\rangle$ is $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$-closed. Now, by Proposition 4.13, $(\langle U\rangle,Y_{\mathcal{E}},1_W)$ carries the structure of an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra and W is a faithful quasi $\langle U\rangle$-module. Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ satisfying the condition that $U\subset V$ and $[V]=V$. It is straightforward to see that $\langle U\rangle\subset V$. $\square$

For a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module W, we say a subset T *spans* W *$\hbar$-adically* if $W=(\mathbb{C}T)[[\hbar]]'$. The following is an $\hbar$-adic version of ([Li4], Theorem 6.3) which is an analogue of a theorem of Frenkel-Kac-Radual-Wang [FKRW] and of Muerman-Primc [MP] (cf. [LL]):

Theorem 4.17. *Let V be a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module, U a subset of V, $\mathbf{1}$ a vector in V, $\mathcal{D}$ a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module endomorphism of V, and Y^0 a map*

$$Y^0:U\to\mathcal{E}_\hbar(V);\quad u\mapsto Y^0(u,x)=u(x)=\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}u_mx^{-m-1}.$$

Assume that all the following conditions hold:

$$\mathcal{D}\mathbf{1}=0,\tag{4.23}$$

$$Y^0(u,x)\mathbf{1}\in V[[x]]\quad\text{and}\quad\lim_{x\to 0}Y^0(u,x)\mathbf{1}=u,\tag{4.24}$$

$$[\mathcal{D},Y^0(u,x)]=\frac{d}{dx}Y^0(u,x)\quad\text{for }u\in U,\tag{4.25}$$

$U(x)=\{u(x)\mid u\in U\}$ is $\hbar$-adically compatible, and V is $\hbar$-adically spanned by vectors

$$u^{(1)}_{m_1}\cdots u^{(r)}_{m_r}\mathbf{1}\tag{4.26}$$

for $r \geq 0,\ u^{(i)} \in U,\ m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. In addition we assume that there exists a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module morphism ψ from V to $\langle U(x)\rangle \subset \mathcal{E}_\hbar(V)$ such that $\psi(\mathbf{1}) = 1_V$ and

$$\psi(u_m v) = u(x)_m \psi(v) \quad \text{for } u \in U,\ v \in V,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.27}$$

Then Y^0 extends uniquely to a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map Y from V to $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(V)$ such that $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ carries the structure of an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra.

Proof. The uniqueness is obvious, so it remains to establish the existence. As $U(x)$ is an $\hbar$-adically compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(V)$, by Theorem 4.16 we have an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra $\langle U(x)\rangle$ with V as a faithful $\langle U(x)\rangle$-module where $Y_V(\alpha(x), x_0) = \alpha(x_0)$ for $\alpha(x) \in \langle U(x)\rangle$. For $u \in U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y_V(u(x), x_0)\mathbf{1} = u(x_0)\mathbf{1} \in V[[x_0]],\\
&[\mathcal{D}, Y_V(u(x), x_0)] = [\mathcal{D}, Y^0(u, x_0)] = \frac{d}{dx_0} Y^0(u, x_0) = \frac{d}{dx_0} Y_V(u(x), x_0).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.9, the map ϕ from $\langle U(x)\rangle$ to V, defined by $\phi(\alpha(x)) = \mathrm{Res}_x x^{-1}\alpha(x)\mathbf{1}$, is a $\langle U(x)\rangle$-module homomorphism. We see that $\phi(1_V) = \mathbf{1}$ and that for $u \in U,\ \alpha(x) \in \langle U(x)\rangle$,

$$\phi(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u(x), x_0)\alpha(x)) = Y_V(u(x), x_0)\phi(\alpha(x)) = u(x_0)\phi(\alpha(x)),$$

which amounts to

$$\phi(u(x)_m \alpha(x)) = u_m \phi(\alpha(x)) \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows that $\phi \circ \psi = 1_V$. Thus ψ is a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module isomorphism from V onto $\psi(V) \subset \langle U(x)\rangle$. For $u \in U$, we have

$$\psi(u) = \psi(u_{-1}\mathbf{1}) = u(x)_{-1}1_V = u(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(u(x)) = \phi(\psi(u)) = u.$$

Inside $\langle U(x)\rangle$, $\psi(V)$ is $\hbar$-adically spanned by vectors

$$u^{(1)}(x)_{m_1} \cdots u^{(r)}(x)_{m_r} 1_V$$

for $r \geq 0,\ u^{(i)} \in U,\ m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For $a \in V$, we define $Y(a, x) \in (\mathrm{End}V)[[x, x^{-1}]]]$ by

$$Y(a, x_0)b = \phi\left(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(a)(x), x_0)\psi(b)(x)\right) \quad \text{for } b \in V.$$

As ϕ is a $\langle U(x)\rangle$-module homomorphism with $\phi \circ \psi = 1$, we have

$$Y(a, x_0)b = Y_V(\psi(a)(x), x_0)b = \psi(a)(x_0)b,$$

so that $Y(a, x) = \psi(a)(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(V)$. In particular, for $u \in U$,

$$Y(u, x_0) = Y_V(\psi(u), x_0) = Y_V(u(x), x_0) = u(x_0) = Y^0(u, x_0),$$

so the map Y extends Y_0. For $v \in V$, we have

$$Y(\mathbf{1}, x_0)v = Y_V(1_V, x_0)v = 1_V(v) = v,$$

$$Y(v, x_0)\mathbf{1} = Y_V(\psi(v)(x), x_0)\mathbf{1} \in V[[x_0]],$$

and

$$\lim_{x_0 \to 0} Y(v, x_0)\mathbf{1} = \lim_{x_0 \to 0} Y_V(\psi(v)(x), x_0)\mathbf{1} = \phi(\psi(v)) = v.$$

To prove that $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ is an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra, we show that for every positive integer n, $V/\hbar^n V$ with the reduced structures is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. For any $n \geq 1$, $\langle U(x)\rangle/\hbar^n\langle U(x)\rangle$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and ϕ reduces to a homomorphism $\bar{\phi}$ from $\langle U(x)\rangle/\hbar^n\langle U(x)\rangle$ to $V/\hbar^n V$. On the other hand, the map ψ reduces to a map $\bar{\psi}$ from $V/\hbar^n V$ to $\langle U(x)\rangle/\hbar^n\langle U(x)\rangle$ such that $\bar{\phi} \circ \bar{\psi} = 1$. We see that the image $\overline{\psi(V)}$ of $\psi(V)$ in $\langle U(x)\rangle/\hbar^n\langle U(x)\rangle$ is a nonlocal vertex subalgebra and $\overline{\psi(V)} \simeq V/\hbar^n V$ through the maps $\bar{\phi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$. It follows that $V/\hbar^n V$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore, $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ is an h-adic nonlocal vertex algebra. This establishes the existence, concluding the proof. ☐

Definition 4.18. Let W be a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module as before. We define a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map

$$Z(x_1, x_2) : \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]] \to (\mathrm{End}W)[[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]]$$

by

$$Z(x_1, x_2)(a(x) \otimes b(x) \otimes f(x)) = f(x_1 - x_2)a(x_1)b(x_2). \tag{4.28}$$

Lemma 4.19. *Let $a(x), b(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$, $B(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$ such that*

$$a(x_1)b(x_2) \sim Z(x_2, x_1)(B(x)).$$

Then $(a(x), b(x))$ is $\hbar$-adically compatible and

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)b(x) \\ = \;& \mathrm{Res}_{x_1} x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x}{x_0}\right) a(x_1)b(x) - x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x - x_1}{-x_0}\right) Z(x, x_1)(B(x)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Proof. By definition, for any positive integer n, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k \pi_n(a(x_1))\pi_n(b(x_2)) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \pi_n^{(2)}(Z(x_2, x_1)(B(x))).$$

From [Li4] we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\pi_n(a(x)), x_0)\pi_n(b(x)) \\ = \;& \mathrm{Res}_{x_1} x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x}{x_0}\right) \pi_n(a(x_1))\pi_n(b(x)) - x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x - x_1}{-x_0}\right) \pi_n^{(2)} Z(x, x_1)(B(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows. ☐

Definition 4.20. Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. Define a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map $Y_\mathcal{E}(x_2,x_1)$ from $V\hat{\otimes}V\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$ to $(\mathrm{End}V)[[x_1^{\pm1},x_2^{\pm1}]]$ by

$$Y_\mathcal{E}(x_2,x_1)(a(x)\otimes b(x)\otimes f(x))=f(x_2-x_1)Y_\mathcal{E}(a(x),x_2)Y_\mathcal{E}(b(x),x_1) \tag{4.30}$$

for $a(x),b(x)\in V,\ f(x)\in\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$.

Lemma 4.21. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$, and let*

$$u(x),v(x)\in V,\quad A(x)\in V\hat{\otimes}V\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]].$$

Suppose that

$$u(x_1)v(x_2)\sim Z(x_2,x_1)(A(x)) \tag{4.31}$$

in $(\mathrm{End}W)[[x_1^{\pm1},x_2^{\pm1}]]$. *Then*

$$Y_\mathcal{E}(u(x),x_1)Y_\mathcal{E}(v(x),x_2)\sim Y_\mathcal{E}(x_2,x_1)(A(x)) \tag{4.32}$$

in $(\mathrm{End}V)[[x_1^{\pm1},x_2^{\pm1}]]$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_2}{x_0}\right)Y_\mathcal{E}(u(x),x_1)Y_\mathcal{E}(v(x),x_2)-x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_1}{-x_0}\right)Y_\mathcal{E}(x_2,x_1)(A(x))\\ =x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_0}{x_2}\right)Y_\mathcal{E}(Y_\mathcal{E}(u(x),x_0)v(x),x_2).\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

Proof. Let n be a positive integer. We have a nonlocal vertex algebra $\pi_n(V)\subset\mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^nW)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with $\ker\pi_n=V\cap\hbar^n\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ $(=\hbar^nV)$. From assumption, there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$(x_1-x_2)^k\pi_n(u(x_1))\pi_n(v(x_2))=(x_1-x_2)^kZ(x_2,x_1)(\pi_n(A(z))).$$

From [Li4] (Proposition 3.13), we have

$$(x_1-x_2)^kY_\mathcal{E}(\pi_n(u(x)),x_1)Y_\mathcal{E}(\pi_n(v(x)),x_2)=(x_1-x_2)^kY_\mathcal{E}(x_2,x_1)\pi_n(A(z))$$

as desired. □

Definition 4.22. A subset U of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ is said to be *$\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local* if for any $a(x),b(x)\in U$, there exists $A(x)\in(\mathbb{C}U\otimes\mathbb{C}U\otimes\mathbb{C}((x)))[[\hbar]]$ such that

$$a(x_1)b(x_2)\sim Z(x_2,x_1)(A(x)). \tag{4.34}$$

Lemma 4.23. *Every $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ is $\hbar$-adically compatible.*

Proof. Let U be an $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. For every positive integer n, we see that $\pi_n(\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]U)$ is an $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W/\hbar^nW)$, so that $\pi_n(\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]U)$ is compatible. By definition, $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]U$ is an $\hbar$-adically compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. Thus U is an $\hbar$-adically compatible subset. □

The following is a refinement of Theorem 4.17 (cf. [Li5], Theorem 2.9):

Theorem 4.24. *Let V be a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module, U a subset of V, $\mathbf{1}$ a vector in V, and Y^0 a map*

$$Y^0 : U \to \mathcal{E}_\hbar(V); \quad u \mapsto Y^0(u,x) = u(x) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} u_m x^{-m-1}.$$

Assume that all the following conditions hold:

$$Y^0(u,x)\mathbf{1} \in V[[x]] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x\to 0} Y^0(u,x)\mathbf{1} = u \quad \text{for } u \in U, \tag{4.35}$$

$U(x) = \{u(x) \mid u \in U\}$ is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local, and V is $\hbar$-adically spanned by vectors

$$u^{(1)}_{m_1} \cdots u^{(r)}_{m_r}\mathbf{1} \tag{4.36}$$

for $r \geq 0$, $u^{(i)} \in U$, $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. In addition we assume that there exists a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module morphism ψ from V to $\langle U(x)\rangle \subset \mathcal{E}_\hbar(V)$ such that $\psi(\mathbf{1}) = 1_V$ and

$$\psi(u_m v) = u(x)_m \psi(v) \quad \text{for } u \in U,\ v \in V,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.37}$$

Then the map Y^0 extends uniquely to a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map Y from V to $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(V)$ such that $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ carries the structure of an $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra.

Proof. We shall slightly modify the proof of Theorem 4.17. By Lemma 4.23, $U(x)$ is $\hbar$-adically compatible, so it generates an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra $\langle U(x)\rangle$. Set

$$K = (\cup_{n\geq 1} U(x)^{(n)})[[\hbar]]' \subset \langle U(x)\rangle \subset \mathcal{E}_\hbar(V).$$

By Proposition 3.13, we have a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-map $\phi : K \to V$ such that

$$\phi(1_V) = \mathbf{1}, \quad \phi(u(x)_n a(x)) = u_n \phi(a(x)) \quad \text{for } u \in U,\ n \in \mathbb{Z},\ a(x) \in K.$$

Then continue with the proof of Theorem 4.17 to see that Y^0 extends uniquely to a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map Y from V to $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(V)$ such that $(V, Y, \mathbf{1})$ carries the structure of an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra. From Lemma 4.21, U is an $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of V and then by Proposition 3.12, V is an $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra. ☐

Notice that compared with the corresponding theorem in [FKRW] and [MP], Theorems 4.17 and 4.24 have an extra assumption on the existence of the map ψ. By using classical linear algebra, it is not hard to see that in the general noncommutative situation, an assumption like this is indeed necessary. This assumption means that V is a universal vacuum module for a certain algebra.

The following results are companions in practical applications:

Lemma 4.25. *Let U be a subset of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ satisfying the condition that for $a(x), b(x) \in U$, there exist $B(z) \in (\mathbb{C}U \otimes \mathbb{C}U \otimes \mathbb{C}((x)))[[\hbar]]$ and $p(x,\hbar) \in \mathbb{C}[x,\hbar]$ with $p(x,0) \neq 0$ such that*

$$p(x_1 - x_2, \hbar) a(x_1) b(x_2) = p(x_1 - x_2, \hbar) Z(x_2, x_1)(B(x)). \tag{4.38}$$

Then U is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local.

Proof. Let $a(x), b(x) \in U$. By assumption there exist B and $p(x,\hbar)$ with all the assumed properties. With $p(x,0) \neq 0$, we have $p(x,\hbar) = f(x) - \hbar g(x,\hbar)$, where $0 \neq f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, $g(x,\hbar) \in \mathbb{C}[x,\hbar]$. Expand $p(x,\hbar)^{-1}$ in the nonnegative powers of $\hbar$ as follows

$$p(x,\hbar)^{-1} = \sum_{i\geq 0} f(x)^{-1-i} g(x,\hbar)^i \hbar^i \in \mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]],$$

where $f(x)^{-i-1}$ is understood as an element of $\mathbb{C}((x))$. Let n be a positive integer. Then

$$p(x,\hbar)^{-1} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(x)^{-1-i} g(x,\hbar)^i \hbar^i \pmod{\hbar^n \mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]}.$$

Let k be a nonnegative integer such that $x^k f(x)^{-n} \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$, so that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k f(x_1 - x_2)^{-1-i} = (-x_2 + x_1)^k f(-x_2 + x_1)^{-1-i} \quad \text{for all } i = 0, \ldots, n-1.$$

Set $A = p(x_1 - x_2, \hbar) a(x_1) b(x_2)$, the common quantity of both sides of (4.38). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (x_1 - x_2)^k a(x_1) b(x_2) \\
= \;& (x_1 - x_2)^k p(x_1 - x_2, \hbar)^{-1} A \\
\equiv \;& \left((x_1 - x_2)^k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(x_1 - x_2)^{-1-i} g(x_1 - x_2, \hbar)^i \hbar^i \right) A \pmod{\hbar^n} \\
= \;& (-x_2 + x_1)^k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(-x_2 + x_1)^{-1-i} g(-x_2 + x_1, \hbar)^i \hbar^i A \\
\equiv \;& (-x_2 + x_1)^k \sum_{i\geq 0} f(-x_2 + x_1)^{-1-i} g(-x_2 + x_1, \hbar)^i \hbar^i A \pmod{\hbar^n} \\
= \;& (-x_2 + x_1)^k Z(x_2, x_1) B(x).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves

$$a(x_1) b(x_2) \sim Z(x_2, x_1) B(x).$$

Thus U is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local. $\square$

Proposition 4.26. *Let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. Suppose that $a(x), b(x) \in V$, $B(x) \in V \hat{\otimes} V \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$, and $p(x,\hbar) \in \mathbb{C}[x,\hbar]$ with $p(x,0) \neq 0$ satisfy*

$$p(x_1 - x_2, \hbar) a(x_1) b(x_2) = p(x_1 - x_2, \hbar) Z(x_2, x_1)(B(x)). \tag{4.39}$$

Then

$$p(x_1 - x_2, \hbar) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_1) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x), x_2) = p(x_1 - x_2, \hbar) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(x_2, x_1)(B(x)). \tag{4.40}$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 4.25, we have

$$a(x_1)b(x_2) \sim Z(x_2, x_1)(B(x)).$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 4.21 we have

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x), x_2) \sim Y_{\mathcal{E}}(x_2, x_1)(B(x)).$$

Then the following Jacobi identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x), x_2) - x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0}\right) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(x_2, x_1)(B(x)) \\ &= x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1}\right) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)b(x), x_2). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.12 we have

$$p(x_0, \hbar)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0)b(x) = (p(x_1 - x, \hbar)a(x_1)b(x))\,|_{x_1 = x + x_0},$$

which involves only nonnegative integer powers of x_0. Multiplying the both sides of the Jacobi identity by $p(x_0, \hbar)$, and then applying Res_{x_0} we obtain the desired identity. □

We also have:

Proposition 4.27. *Let W be given as before and let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$ such that V is $\hbar$-adically compatible, and let*

$$m \in \mathbb{Z},\ u(x), v(x), c^0(x), c^1(x), \cdots \in V, \quad A(x) \in V \hat{\otimes} V \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$$

satisfying the condition that for every positive integer n, there exists a nonnegative integer r such that $c^j(x) \in \hbar^n V$ for $j \geq r$. Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} &(x_1 - x_2)^m u(x_1)v(x_2) - (-x_2 + x_1)^m Z(x_2, x_1)(A(x)) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 0} c^j(x_2)\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^j x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(x_1 - x_2)^m Y_{\mathcal{E}}(u(x), x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(v(x), x_2) - (-x_2 + x_1)^m Y_{\mathcal{E}}(x_2, x_1)(A(x)) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 0} Y_{\mathcal{E}}(c^j(x), x_2)\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^j x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

Proof. Since V is $\hbar$-adically compatible, W is a faithful V-module with $Y_W(\alpha(x), x_0) = \alpha(x_0)$ for $\alpha(x) \in V$. Then it follows immediately from Proposition 2.25. □

5 $\hbar$-deformations of quantum vertex algebras $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$

In this section we construct a family of $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras as $\hbar$-deformations of certain quantum vertex algebras which were studied in [KL]. One special case gives rise to an $\hbar$-deformed $\beta\gamma$-system, while another special case gives rise to an $\hbar$-deformation of the vertex operator superalgebra V_L associated to the rank-one lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ with $\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle = 1$. We essentially deal with the same algebras as in [KL] with a formal parameter $\hbar$, instead of a nonzero complex number q.

We first recall the quantum vertex algebras of Zamolodchikov-Faddeev type from [KL]. Let l be a positive integer and let $\mathbf{Q} = (q_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{l}$ be a complex matrix such that

$$q_{ij}q_{ji} = 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq l. \tag{5.1}$$

Define $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ to be the associative algebra with identity (over $\mathbb{C}$) with generators

$$X_{i,n},\ Y_{i,n} \quad (i = 1, \dots, l,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

subject to relations

$$\begin{aligned} &X_{i,m}X_{j,n} = q_{ij}X_{j,n}X_{i,m}, \qquad Y_{i,m}Y_{j,n} = q_{ij}Y_{j,n}Y_{i,m}, \\ &X_{i,m}Y_{j,n} - q_{ji}Y_{j,n}X_{i,m} = \delta_{i,j}\delta_{m+n+1,0} \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq l,\ m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A vector w in an $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module is called a *vacuum vector* if

$$X_{i,n}w = Y_{i,n}w = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq l,\ n \geq 0,$$

and an $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module W equipped with a vacuum vector that generates W is called a *vacuum* $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$*-module.*

Let $J_{\mathbf{Q}}$ be the left ideal of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$, generated by $X_{i,n},\ Y_{i,n}$ $(1 \leq i \leq l,\ n \geq 0)$, that is,

$$J_{\mathbf{Q}} = \sum_{i=1}^{l}\sum_{n\geq 0}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}X_{i,n} + \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}Y_{i,n}).$$

Set

$$V_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}/J_{\mathbf{Q}}, \tag{5.3}$$

a left $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module, and set

$$\mathbf{1} = 1 + J_{\mathbf{Q}} \in V_{\mathbf{Q}}.$$

Then $\mathbf{1}$ is a vacuum vector and $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ equipped with $\mathbf{1}$ is a vacuum $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module. For $1 \leq i \leq l$, set

$$u^{(i)} = X_{i,-1}\mathbf{1}, \quad v^{(i)} = Y_{i,-1}\mathbf{1} \in V_{\mathbf{Q}} \tag{5.4}$$

and set

$$X_i(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} X_{i,n}x^{-n-1}, \quad Y_i(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} Y_{i,n}x^{-n-1} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}[[x, x^{-1}]]. \tag{5.5}$$

It was proved therein that there exists a unique quantum vertex algebra structure on $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ with $\mathbf{1}$ as the vacuum vector and with

$$Y(u^{(i)},x)=X_i(x),\quad Y(v^{(i)},x)=Y_i(x)\qquad \text{for } 1\le i\le l.$$

It was also proved that $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is nondegenerate.

Next, we are going to construct a family of $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras by deforming $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$. For this purpose we shall need the following notion (cf. [Li3]):

Definition 5.1. Let V be a general nonlocal vertex algebra. An *$\hbar$-adic pseudo-endomorphism* of V is a linear map

$$\Phi(x):V\to (V\otimes \mathbb{C}((x)))[[\hbar]]$$

satisfying the condition that $\Phi(x)\mathbf{1}=\mathbf{1}\otimes 1$,

$$\Phi(x_1)Y(v,x_2)=Y(\Phi(x_1-x_2)v,x_2)\Phi(x_1)\qquad \text{for } v\in V, \tag{5.6}$$

where the map Y is canonically extended. An $\hbar$-adic pseudo-endomorphism $\Phi(x)$ is called a *pseudo-automorphism* if there exists an $\hbar$-adic pseudo-endomorphism $\Psi(x)$ such that $\Phi(x)\Psi(x)v=v=\Psi(x)\Phi(x)v$ for $v\in V$. We say that $\hbar$-adic pseudo-endomorphisms $\Phi(x)$ and $\Psi(x)$ *commute* if $\Phi(x_1)\Psi(x_2)=\Psi(x_2)\Phi(x_1)$.

The following is an $\hbar$-adic version of Lemma 3.15 of [KL]:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathbf{Q}=(q_{ij})$ be an $l\times l$ matrix as before and let $p_1(x,\hbar),\dots,p_l(x,\hbar)$ be any sequence in $\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$ with $p_i(x,0)\neq 0$ for $1\le i\le l$ (so that $p_i(x,\hbar)$ are invertible). Then there exists an $\hbar$-adic pseudo-automorphism $\Phi(x)$ of $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that*

$$\Phi(x)(u^{(i)})=u^{(i)}\otimes p_i(x,\hbar),\qquad \Phi(x)(v^{(i)})=v^{(i)}\otimes p_i(x,\hbar)^{-1}\qquad \text{for } 1\le i\le l.$$

Furthermore, all such pseudo-automorphisms mutually commute.

Proof. Note that $V_{\mathbf{Q}}\otimes\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]\subset (V_{\mathbf{Q}}\otimes\mathbb{C}((x)))[[\hbar]]$. As $\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$ is a commutative associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with $-\frac{d}{dx}$ as a derivation, $\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$ becomes a vertex algebra with 1 as the vacuum vector and with

$$Y(f,z)g=(e^{-z\frac{d}{dx}}f)g\qquad \text{for } f,g\in\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]].$$

We then equip $V_{\mathbf{Q}}\otimes\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$ with the tensor product vertex algebra structure with Y_{ten} denoting the vertex operator map. Then

$$Y_{ten}(v\otimes f(x),z)=Y(v,z)\otimes f(x-z)\qquad \text{for } v\in V,\ f(x)\in\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]].$$

For $A(x)\in V\otimes\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$, we have $Y_{ten}(A(x),z)=Y(A(x-z),z)$, noting that as in (5.6), Y is $\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$-bilinear. An $\hbar$-adic pseudo-endomorphism from $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ to $V_{\mathbf{Q}}\otimes\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$ exactly amounts to a vertex algebra homomorphism.

It is straightforward to check that with $X_i(z)$ and $Y_i(z)$ $(1\le i\le l)$ acting on $V_{\mathbf{Q}}\otimes\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$ as $Y(u^{(i)}\otimes p_i(x,\hbar),z)$ and $Y(v^{(i)}\otimes p_i(x,\hbar)^{-1},z)$, respectively, $V_{\mathbf{Q}}\otimes\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$

becomes an $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module with $\mathbf{1}\otimes 1$ as a vacuum vector. Since $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a universal vacuum $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module, it follows that there exists an $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module homomorphism θ from $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ to $V_{\mathbf{Q}}\otimes\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$ such that $\theta(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{1}\otimes 1$. Because $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ as a quantum vertex algebra is generated by $u^{(i)}, v^{(i)}$ $(1\le i\le l)$, it follows that θ is a vertex algebra homomorphism. We have

$$\theta(u^{(i)})=u^{(i)}\otimes p_i(x,\hbar),\quad \theta_i(v^{(i)})=v^{(i)}\otimes p_i(x,\hbar)^{-1}\quad \text{for } 1\le i\le l.$$

Denoting θ alternatively by $\Phi(x)$, we see that $\Phi(x)$ satisfies all the properties. □

Set

$$G(x,\hbar)=\left\{\frac{p(x,\hbar)}{q(x,\hbar)}\mid p(x,\hbar),q(x,\hbar)\in\mathbb{C}[x,\hbar]\quad\text{with } p(x,0),q(x,0)\ne 0\right\},\tag{5.7}$$

an abelian group. We also consider $G(x,\hbar)$ as a (multiplicative) subgroup of $\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let* $\mathbf{Q}=(q_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{l}$ *be given as before and let*

$$p_{ij}(x,\hbar)\in G(x,\hbar)\subset\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]\tag{5.8}$$

such that $p_{ij}(x,0)=1$ *for* $1\le i,j\le l$. *For* $1\le i\le l$, *let* $\Phi_i(x)$ *be the pseudo-automorphism of* $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ *such that*

$$\Phi_i(x)(u^{(j)})=u^{(j)}\otimes p_{ij}(x,\hbar),\qquad \Phi_i(x)(v^{(j)})=v^{(j)}\otimes p_{ij}(x,\hbar)^{-1}\qquad \text{for } 1\le j\le l,$$

obtained in Lemma 5.2. Then there exists a unique $\hbar$*-adic quantum vertex algebra structure on* $V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$ *with* $\mathbf{1}$ *as the vacuum vector and with*

$$Y_\hbar(u^{(i)},x)=Y(u^{(i)},x)\Phi_i(x),\qquad Y_\hbar(v^{(i)},x)=Y(v^{(i)},x)\Phi_i(x)^{-1}\qquad \text{for } 1\le j\le l.$$

Furthermore, $V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$ *is non-degenerate and generated by* $u^{(i)}, v^{(i)}$ $(1\le i\le l)$, *and the following relations hold for* $1\le i,j\le l$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{ij}(x_1-x_2,\hbar)^{-1}Y_\hbar(u^{(i)},x_1)Y_\hbar(u^{(j)},x_2)=q_{ji}p_{ji}(x_2-x_1,\hbar)^{-1}Y_\hbar(u^{(j)},x_2)Y_\hbar(u^{(i)},x_1),\\
&p_{ij}(x_1-x_2,\hbar)^{-1}Y_\hbar(v^{(i)},x_1)Y_\hbar(v^{(j)},x_2)=q_{ij}p_{ji}(x_2-x_1,\hbar)^{-1}Y_\hbar(v^{(j)},x_2)Y_\hbar(v^{(i)},x_1),\\
&p_{ij}(x_1-x_2,\hbar)Y_\hbar(u^{(i)},x_1)Y_\hbar(v^{(j)},x_2)-q_{ji}p_{ji}(x_2-x_1,\hbar)Y_\hbar(v^{(j)},x_2)Y_\hbar(u^{(i)},x_1)\\
&\qquad\qquad=\delta_{ij}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that from Lemma 5.2, pseudo-automorphisms $\Phi_i(x)$ $(1\le i\le l)$ are mutually commuting. For $1\le i\le l$, set

$$a^{(i)}(x)=Y(u^{(i)},x)\Phi_i(x),\qquad b^{(i)}(x)=Y(v^{(i)},x)\Phi_i^{-1}(x).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{ij}(x_1-x_2,\hbar)^{-1}a^{(i)}(x_1)a^{(j)}(x_2)\\
=\;&p_{ij}(x_1-x_2,\hbar)^{-1}Y(u^{(i)},x_1)\Phi_i(x_1)Y(u^{(j)},x_2)\Phi_j(x_2)\\
=\;&Y(u^{(i)},x_1)Y(u^{(j)},x_2)\Phi_i(x_1)\Phi_j(x_2)\\
=\;&q_{ij}Y(u^{(j)},x_2)Y(u^{(i)},x_1)\Phi_j(x_2)\Phi_i(x_1)\\
=\;&q_{ij}p_{ji}(x_2-x_1,\hbar)^{-1}a^{(j)}(x_2)a^{(i)}(x_1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{ij}(x_1-x_2,\hbar)^{-1}b^{(i)}(x_1)b^{(j)}(x_2)\\
=\ &p_{ij}(x_1-x_2,\hbar)^{-1}Y(v^{(i)},x_1)\Phi_i^{-1}(x_1)Y(v^{(j)},x_2)\Phi_j^{-1}(x_2)\\
=\ &Y(v^{(i)},x_1)Y(v^{(j)},x_2)\Phi_i^{-1}(x_1)\Phi_j^{-1}(x_2)\\
=\ &q_{ij}Y(v^{(j)},x_2)Y(v^{(i)},x_1)\Phi_j^{-1}(x_2)\Phi_i^{-1}(x_1)\\
=\ &q_{ij}p_{ji}(x_2-x_1,\hbar)^{-1}b^{(j)}(x_2)b^{(i)}(x_1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{ij}(x_1-x_2,\hbar)a^{(i)}(x_1)b^{(j)}(x_2)-q_{ji}p_{ji}(x_2-x_1,\hbar)b^{(j)}(x_2)a^{(i)}(x_1)\\
=\ &Y(u^{(i)},x_1)Y(v^{(j)},x_2)\Phi_i(x_1)\Phi_j^{-1}(x_2)-q_{ji}Y(v^{(j)},x_2)Y(u^{(i)},x_1)\Phi_j^{-1}(x_2)\Phi_i(x_1)\\
=\ &\delta_{ij}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)\Phi_j^{-1}(x_2)\Phi_i(x_1)\\
=\ &\delta_{ij}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)\Phi_j^{-1}(x_2)\Phi_i(x_2)\\
=\ &\delta_{ij}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Set $T=\{a^{(i)}(x),\ b^{(i)}(x)\mid 1\le i\le l\}\subset\mathcal{E}_\hbar(V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]])$. From Lemma 4.25, T is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local and hence $\hbar$-adically compatible by Lemma 4.23. By Theorem 4.16, T generates an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra $\langle T\rangle$ inside $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]])$ with $V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$ as a module.

We are going to apply Theorem 4.24 with $V=V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$, $U=\{u^{(i)},v^{(i)}\mid 1\le i\le l\}$, and

$$Y_0(u^{(i)},x)=a^{(i)}(x),\quad Y_0(v^{(i)},x)=b^{(i)}(x).$$

We claim that $V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$ is generated from $\mathbf{1}$ by field operators $a^{(i)}(x),b^{(i)}(x)$ $(1\le i\le l)$. Let W be the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-submodule of $V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$ generated from $\mathbf{1}$ by field operators $a^{(i)}(x),b^{(i)}(x)$ $(1\le i\le l)$. We have $\Phi_i(x)\mathbf{1}=\mathbf{1}\otimes 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_i(x)a^{(j)}(x_1)=p_{ij}(x-x_1,\hbar)a^{(j)}(x_1)\Phi_i(x),\\
&\Phi_i(x)b^{(j)}(x_1)=p_{ij}(x-x_1,\hbar)^{-1}b^{(j)}(x_1)\Phi_i(x)
\end{aligned}$$

for $1\le i,j\le l$. It follows from induction that $\Phi_i(x)W\subset W[[x,x^{-1}]]$ for $1\le i\le l$. Similarly, we have $\Phi_i(x)^{-1}W\subset W[[x,x^{-1}]]$. As

$$Y(u^{(i)},x)=a^{(i)}(x)\Phi_i(x)^{-1},\quad Y(v^{(i)},x)=b^{(i)}(x)\Phi_i(x),$$

it follows that W is closed under vertex operators $Y(u^{(i)},x)$ and $Y(v^{(i)},x)$ for $1\le i\le l$. Consequently, $W=V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$, as claimed.

By Proposition 3.13, there exists a K-module homomorphism π from K to $V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$, sending $1_{V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]}$ to $\mathbf{1}$. We are going to prove that π is in fact an isomorphism. First, we see that π is surjective and it gives rise to a surjective linear map $\pi_0: K/\hbar K\to V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ (=

$V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]/\hbar V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$). By Lemma 5.4, which follows next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{ij}(x_1-x_2,\hbar)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a^{(i)}(x),x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a^{(j)}(x),x_2)\\
&\qquad = q_{ij}p_{ji}(x_2-x_1,\hbar)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a^{(j)}(x),x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a^{(i)}(x),x_1),\\
&p_{ij}(x_1-x_2,\hbar)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b^{(i)}(x),x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b^{(j)}(x),x_2)\\
&\qquad = q_{ij}p_{ji}(x_2-x_1,\hbar)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b^{(j)}(x),x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b^{(i)}(x),x_1),\\
&p_{ij}(x_1-x_2,\hbar)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a^{(i)}(x),x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b^{(j)}(x),x_2)\\
&\qquad\qquad -q_{ji}p_{ji}(x_2-x_1,\hbar)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b^{(j)}(x),x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a^{(i)}(x),x_1)\\
&\qquad = \delta_{ij}x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \le i, j \le l$. From this, it follows that $K/\hbar K$ is a vacuum $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module with $X_i(z)$ and $Y_i(z)$ ($1 \le i \le l$) acting as $Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a^{(i)}(x),z)$ and $Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b^{(i)}(x),z)$, respectively. Furthermore, we see that π_0 is a surjective $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module homomorphism from $K/\hbar K$ to $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$. As every nonzero vacuum $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module is irreducible from [KL], π_0 must be an isomorphism. With K separated and with $V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$ torsion-free, it follows from a result of Enriquez ([En], Lemma 3.14) that π is an isomorphism. Now, by Theorem 4.24, there exists an $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra structure on $V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$ with $\mathbf{1}$ as the vacuum vector and with

$$Y_{\hbar}(u^{(i)},x)=a^{(i)}(x),\quad Y_{\hbar}(v^{(i)},x)=b^{(i)}(x)\quad \text{for } 1\le i\le l.$$

Then the last assertion follows immediately. As $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is nondegenerate, $V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$ is an $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebra. Now, the proof is complete. $\square$

The following is the result we need in the proof of Theorem 5.3:

Lemma 5.4. *Let W be a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module and let V be an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}_{\hbar}(W)$. Assume that*

$$a(x), b(x)\in V,\ p(x,\hbar), q(x,\hbar)\in\mathbb{C}[x,\hbar],\ f(x,\hbar)\in\mathbb{C}((x))[[\hbar]]$$

with $p(x,0), q(x,0)\neq 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{p(x_1-x_2,\hbar)}{q(x_1-x_2,\hbar)}\right)a(x_1)b(x_2)-f(x_2-x_1,\hbar)b(x_2)a(x_1)=\lambda x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right), \tag{5.9}$$

where λ is a complex number. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{p(x_1-x_2,\hbar)}{q(x_1-x_2,\hbar)}\right)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x),x_2)-f(x_2-x_1,\hbar)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x),x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_1)\\
&\qquad\qquad =\lambda x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{5.10}$$

Proof. From (5.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1-x_2)p(x_1-x_2,\hbar)a(x_1)b(x_2) &= (x_1-x_2)q(x_1-x_2,\hbar)f(x_2-x_1,\hbar)b(x_2)a(x_1)\\ &= (x_1-x_2)p(x_1-x_2,\hbar)\left(\frac{q(x_1-x_2,\hbar)f(x_2-x_1,\hbar)}{p(-x_2+x_1,\hbar)}\right)b(x_2)a(x_1).\end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 4.25, we have

$$a(x_1)b(x_2)\sim\left(\frac{q(x_1-x_2,\hbar)f(x_2-x_1,\hbar)}{p(-x_2+x_1,\hbar)}\right)b(x_2)a(x_1).$$

By Lemma 4.19, we have

$$\begin{aligned}Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x) &= \mathrm{Res}_{x_1}x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x}{x_0}\right)a(x_1)b(x)\\ &\quad -\mathrm{Res}_{x_1}x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x-x_1}{-x_0}\right)\left(\frac{q(x_1-x,\hbar)f(x-x_1,\hbar)}{p(-x+x_1,\hbar)}\right)b(x)a(x_1).\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by $\frac{p(x_0,\hbar)}{q(x_0,\hbar)}$ (viewed as an element of $\mathbb{C}((x_0))[[\hbar]]$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{p(x_0,\hbar)}{q(x_0,\hbar)}\right)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x) &= \mathrm{Res}_{x_1}x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x}{x_0}\right)\left(\frac{p(x_1-x,\hbar)}{q(x_1-x,\hbar)}\right)a(x_1)b(x)\\ &\quad -\mathrm{Res}_{x_1}x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x-x_1}{-x_0}\right)f(x-x_1,\hbar)b(x)a(x_1).\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for $n\geq 0$, applying $\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^n$ to both sides and then using (5.9) we get

$$\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^n\left(\frac{p(x_0,\hbar)}{q(x_0,\hbar)}\right)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x)=\lambda\mathrm{Res}_{x_1}(x_1-x)^n x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x}\right)=\delta_{n,0}\lambda.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.21 we have the following Jacobi identity in V:

$$\begin{aligned}&x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_2}{x_0}\right)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x),x_2)\\ &\quad -x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_1}{-x_0}\right)\left(\frac{q(x_1-x_2,\hbar)f(x_2-x_1,\hbar)}{p(-x_2+x_1,\hbar)}\right)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x),x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_1)\\ =\ & x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2+x_0}{x_1}\right)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x),x_2).\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by $\frac{p(x_0,\hbar)}{q(x_0,\hbar)}$ and then taking Res_{x_0} we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{p(x_1-x_2,\hbar)}{q(x_1-x_2,\hbar)}\right)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x),x_2)-f(x_2-x_1,\hbar)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(b(x),x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_1)\\ =\ & \mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2+x_0}{x_1}\right)\left(\frac{p(x_0,\hbar)}{q(x_0,\hbar)}\right)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x),x_2)\\ =\ & \lambda x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right),\end{aligned}$$

proving (5.10). □

Example 5.5. Consider the special case with $l = 1$, $q_{11} = 1$, and $p_{11}(x, \hbar) = \frac{x+\hbar}{x}$. In this case (with $\mathbf{Q} = q_{11} = 1$), $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a Weyl algebra and $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a vertex algebra. By Theorem 5.3, there exists an $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebra $V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$ with generators u, v such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_1 - x_2 + \hbar}\right) Y(u, x_1)Y(u, x_2) = \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2 - x_1 + \hbar}\right) Y(u, x_2)Y(u, x_1),\\
&\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_1 - x_2 + \hbar}\right) Y(v, x_1)Y(v, x_2) = \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2 - x_1 + \hbar}\right) Y(v, x_2)Y(v, x_1),\\
&\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2 + \hbar}{x_1 - x_2}\right) Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2) - \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1 + \hbar}{x_2 - x_1}\right) Y(v, x_2)Y(u, x_1) = x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This gives an $\hbar$-deformed $\beta\gamma$-system (cf. [EFK]).

Example 5.6. Consider the case with $l = 1$, $q_{11} = -1$, and $p_{11}(x, \hbar) = \frac{x+\hbar}{x}$. In this case, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a Clifford algebra and $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a vertex superalgebra (cf. [FFR]). Theorem 5.3 asserts that there exists an $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebra $V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$ with generators u, v such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_1 - x_2 + \hbar}\right) Y(u, x_1)Y(u, x_2) = -\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2 - x_1 + \hbar}\right) Y(u, x_2)Y(u, x_1),\\
&\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_1 - x_2 + \hbar}\right) Y(v, x_1)Y(v, x_2) = -\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2 - x_1 + \hbar}\right) Y(v, x_2)Y(v, x_1),\\
&\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2 + \hbar}{x_1 - x_2}\right) Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2) + \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1 + \hbar}{x_2 - x_1}\right) Y(v, x_2)Y(u, x_1) = x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ be a rank-one lattice with $\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle = 1$. Associated to L, one has a vertex superalgebra V_L (cf. [DL]). It is known that vertex superalgebras $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ and V_L are isomorphic with $u = e^{\alpha}$ and $v = e^{-\alpha}$. In view of this, $V_{\mathbf{Q}}[[\hbar]]$ is an $\hbar$-deformation of V_L.

6 $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras associated with double Yangians

In this section, we shall associate the centrally extended double Yangian of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ (see [Kh]) with $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebras. This can be viewed as an $\hbar$-adic version of the corresponding result of [Li6] for the centerless double Yangian of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ with $\hbar$ evaluated as a nonzero complex number.

The following is a variant of the centrally extended double Yangian $\widehat{DY_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$ in [Kh]:

Definition 6.1. Define $\widehat{DY_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$ to be the topological associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ with generators

$$e_m, \quad f_m, \quad h_m, \quad c, \quad d \ \ (m \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

which are grouped together in terms of generating functions

$$e(x)=\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} e_m x^{-m-1},\qquad f(x)=\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} f_m x^{-m-1},$$
$$h^+(x)=1+\hbar\sum_{m\geq 0} h_m x^{-m-1},\qquad h^-(x)=1-\hbar\sum_{m<0} h_m x^{-m-1},$$

with c a central element, subject to relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&[d,e(x)]=\frac{d}{dx}e(x),\quad [d,f(x)]=\frac{d}{dx}f(x),\quad [d,h^{\pm}(x)]=\frac{d}{dx}h^{\pm}(x),\\
&e(x)e(y)=\frac{y-x-\hbar}{y-x+\hbar}e(y)e(x),\\
&f(x)f(y)=\frac{y-x+\hbar}{y-x-\hbar}f(y)f(x),\\
&h^+(x)e(y)=\frac{x-y+\hbar}{x-y-\hbar}e(y)h^+(x),\\
&h^+(x)f(y)=\frac{x-y-(1+c)\hbar}{x-y+(1-c)\hbar}f(y)h^+(x),\\
&h^-(x)e(y)=\frac{y-x-\hbar}{y-x+\hbar}e(y)h^-(x),\\
&h^-(x)f(y)=\frac{y-x+\hbar}{y-x-\hbar}f(y)h^-(x),\\
&h^{\pm}(x)h^{\pm}(y)=h^{\pm}(y)h^{\pm}(x),\\
&h^+(x)h^-(y)=\frac{x-y+\hbar}{x-y-\hbar}\cdot\frac{x-y-(1+c)\hbar}{x-y+(1-c)\hbar}\cdot h^-(y)h^+(x),\\
&[e(x),f(y)]=\frac{1}{\hbar}\left(x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{y+\hbar c}{x}\right)h^+(x)-x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)h^-(y)\right), \qquad (6.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where by convention for $a\in\mathbb{C}[c]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{x-y-a\hbar} &= \sum_{n\geq 0} a^n(x-y)^{-n-1}\hbar^n\in\mathbb{C}[c,(x-y)^{-1}][[\hbar]],\\
\frac{1}{y-x-a\hbar} &= \sum_{n\geq 0} a^n(y-x)^{-n-1}\hbar^n\in\mathbb{C}[c,(y-x)^{-1}][[\hbar]].
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.2. Here we give some details for the definition. Let T be the free associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with generators e_m, f_m, h_m ($m\in\mathbb{Z}$), c, d. Set

$$\deg c=\deg d=0,\ \deg e_m=\deg f_m=\deg h_m=m\ \text{ for } m\in\mathbb{Z},$$

to make T a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra $T=\coprod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}T_n$. For $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, set

$$F_n(T)=\sum_{m\geq n}T_m\subset T.$$

This defines a decreasing filtration $\{F_n(T)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of T with $\cap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} F_n(T) = 0$. Denote by $\overline{T}$ the formal completion of T with respect to this filtration. Then $\overline{T}[[\hbar]]$ is an algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. The algebra $\widehat{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$ is simply the quotient algebra of $\overline{T}[[\hbar]]$ modulo all the relations corresponding to (6.1). The standard double Yangian $\widehat{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$ (see [Kh]) was related to the increasing filtration $\{C_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $C_k = \sum_{m\le k} T_m$ for $k\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 6.3. Let W be a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module and let $a(x), b(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. Assume that $a(x) \in (\mathrm{End}W)[[x^{-1}]]$. Note that the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} a(x_1)b(x_2) &= \frac{x_1-x_2-\hbar}{x_1-x_2+\hbar} b(x_2)a(x_1), \\ a(x_1)b(x_2) &= \frac{x_2-x_1+\hbar}{x_2-x_1-\hbar} b(x_2)a(x_1) \ \left(= \frac{-x_2+x_1-\hbar}{-x_2+x_1+\hbar} b(x_2)a(x_1)\right) \end{aligned}$$

both make sense, but they are not equivalent in general. On the other hand, the following equalities both make sense and are equivalent

$$\begin{aligned} a(x_1)b(x_2) &= \frac{x_1-x_2-\hbar}{x_1-x_2+\hbar} b(x_2)a(x_1), \\ b(x_2)a(x_1) &= \frac{x_1-x_2+\hbar}{x_1-x_2-\hbar} a(x_1)b(x_2). \end{aligned}$$

By *a* $\widehat{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$*-module* we mean a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module W on which $e(x), f(x), h^{\pm}(x)$ and c, d act such that

$$e(x), f(x), h^{\pm}(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$$

and such that all the defining relations in (6.1) hold. A $\widehat{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module W is said to be of *level* $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ if c acts on W as scalar ℓ. Let W be a $\widehat{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of level ℓ. Set

$$U_W = \{1_W, e(x), f(x), h^+(x), h^-(x)\} \subset \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W). \tag{6.2}$$

Note that from the last defining relation we have

$$(x_1-x_2)(x_1-x_2-\ell\hbar)[e(x_1), f(x_2)] = 0.$$

In view of Lemma 4.25, U_W is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local. Then by Theorem 4.15, U_W generates an $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra V_W inside $\mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$. We are going to show that the space V_W is naturally a module for a variant of $\widehat{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$.

Definition 6.4. Let $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$ be the topological associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ with generators

$$\tilde{e}_m, \quad \tilde{f}_m, \quad \tilde{h}^{\pm}_m \ \ (m\in\mathbb{Z}), \quad c, \quad d,$$

and with generating functions

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{e}(x)=\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{e}_m x^{-m-1}, \qquad \tilde{f}(x)=\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{f}_m x^{-m-1},\\ &\tilde{h}^{+}(x)=1+\hbar\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{h}^{+}_m x^{-m-1}, \quad \tilde{h}^{-}(x)=1-\hbar\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\tilde{h}^{-}_m x^{-m-1}, \end{aligned}\tag{6.3}$$

subject to relations

$$\begin{aligned} &[d,\tilde{e}(x)]=\frac{d}{dx}\tilde{e}(x), \quad [d,\tilde{f}(x)]=\frac{d}{dx}\tilde{f}(x), \quad [d,\tilde{h}^{\pm}(x)]=\frac{d}{dx}\tilde{h}^{\pm}(x),\\ &\tilde{e}(x)\tilde{e}(y)=\frac{y-x-\hbar}{y-x+\hbar}\tilde{e}(y)\tilde{e}(x),\\ &\tilde{f}(x)\tilde{f}(y)=\frac{y-x+\hbar}{y-x-\hbar}\tilde{f}(y)\tilde{f}(x),\\ &\tilde{e}(y)\tilde{h}^{+}(x)=\frac{x-y-\hbar}{x-y+\hbar}\tilde{h}^{+}(x)\tilde{e}(y),\\ &\tilde{f}(y)\tilde{h}^{+}(x)=\frac{x-y+(1-c)\hbar}{x-y-(1+c)\hbar}\tilde{h}^{+}(x)\tilde{f}(y),\\ &\tilde{h}^{-}(x)\tilde{e}(y)=\frac{y-x-\hbar}{y-x+\hbar}\tilde{e}(y)\tilde{h}^{-}(x),\\ &\tilde{h}^{-}(x)\tilde{f}(y)=\frac{y-x+\hbar}{y-x-\hbar}\tilde{f}(y)\tilde{h}^{-}(x),\\ &[\tilde{h}^{\pm}(x),\tilde{h}^{\pm}(y)]=0,\\ &\tilde{h}^{-}(y)\tilde{h}^{+}(x)=\frac{x-y-\hbar}{x-y+\hbar}\cdot\frac{x-y+(1-c)\hbar}{x-y-(1+c)\hbar}\cdot\tilde{h}^{+}(x)\tilde{h}^{-}(y),\\ &[\tilde{e}(x),\tilde{f}(y)]=\frac{1}{\hbar}\left(x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{y+\hbar c}{x}\right)\tilde{h}^{+}(x)-x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)\tilde{h}^{-}(y)\right). \end{aligned}\tag{6.4}$$

Similarly, we define a $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module to be a $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module on which $\tilde{e}(x)$, $\tilde{f}(x)$, $\tilde{h}^{\pm}(x)$ and c, d act with $\tilde{e}(x), \tilde{f}(x), \tilde{h}^{\pm}(x) \in \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W)$, satisfying all the defining relations. A vector w in a $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module is called a *vacuum vector* if

$$dw=0, \quad \tilde{e}_m w=\tilde{f}_m w=\tilde{h}^{\pm}_m w=0 \quad \text{for } m\geq 0.$$

A *vacuum* $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$*-module* is a module equipped with a vacuum vector which generates the whole module.

Remark 6.5. In view of Remark 6.3, the following relations hold in $\widehat{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &e(y)h^{+}(x)=\frac{x-y-\hbar}{x-y+\hbar}h^{+}(x)e(y),\\ &f(y)h^{+}(x)=\frac{x-y+(1-c)\hbar}{x-y-(1+c)\hbar}h^{+}(x)f(y),\\ &h^{-}(y)h^{+}(x)=\frac{x-y-\hbar}{x-y+\hbar}\cdot\frac{x-y+(1-c)\hbar}{x-y-(1+c)\hbar}\cdot h^{+}(x)h^{-}(y). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, these three relations also imply the corresponding original relations. Thus $\widehat{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$ is isomorphic to the quotient algebra of $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$ modulo the relations

$$\tilde{h}^+_m = 0 \quad \text{for } m < 0 \ \text{ and } \ \tilde{h}^-_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \tag{6.5}$$

Consequently, every $\widehat{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of level ℓ is naturally a $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of level ℓ.

Set

$$\tilde{h}^+(x)' = \sum_{k\geq 0} \tilde{h}_k x^{-k-1} = \frac{1}{\hbar}(\tilde{h}^+(x) - 1), \quad \tilde{h}^-(x)' = \sum_{k<0} \tilde{h}_k x^{-k-1} = \frac{1}{\hbar}(1 - \tilde{h}^-(x)). \tag{6.6}$$

The following is straightforward:

Lemma 6.6. *In terms of $\tilde{h}^\pm(x)'$, those relations involving $\tilde{h}^\pm(x)$ in (6.4) become*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{h}^-(x)'\tilde{e}(y) = \frac{y-x-\hbar}{y-x+\hbar}\tilde{e}(y)\tilde{h}^-(x)' + \frac{2}{y-x+\hbar}\tilde{e}(y),\\
&\tilde{h}^-(x)'\tilde{f}(y) = \frac{y-x+\hbar}{y-x-\hbar}\tilde{f}(y)\tilde{h}^-(x)' + \frac{-2}{y-x-\hbar}\tilde{f}(y),\\
&\tilde{e}(y)\tilde{h}^+(x)' = \frac{x-y-\hbar}{x-y+\hbar}\cdot\tilde{h}^+(x)'\tilde{e}(y) + \frac{-2}{x-y+\hbar}\tilde{e}(y),\\
&\tilde{f}(y)\tilde{h}^+(x)' = \frac{x-y+(1-c)\hbar}{x-y-(1+c)\hbar}\cdot\tilde{h}^+(x)'\tilde{f}(y) + \frac{2}{x-y-(1+c)\hbar}\tilde{f}(y),\\
&[\tilde{h}^\pm(x)', \tilde{h}^\pm(y)'] = 0,\\
&\tilde{h}^-(y)'\tilde{h}^+(x)' = F(\hbar)\tilde{h}^+(x)'\tilde{h}^-(y)'\\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{-2c}{(x-y+\hbar)(x-y-(1+c)\hbar)}\left(1 + \hbar\tilde{h}^+(x)' - \hbar\tilde{h}^-(y)'\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(\hbar) = \frac{x-y-\hbar}{x-y+\hbar}\cdot\frac{x-y+(1-c)\hbar}{x-y-(1+c)\hbar},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\tilde{e}(x), \tilde{f}(y)]\\
= \ & x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)\left(\tilde{h}^+(x)' + \tilde{h}^-(x)'\right) + \sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{c^k\hbar^{k-1}}{k!}(1 + \hbar\tilde{h}^+(x)')\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^k x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{y}{x}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.7. *Let W be a topologically free $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of level ℓ. Set*

$$\widetilde{U}_W = \{1_W, \tilde{e}(x), \tilde{f}(x), \tilde{h}^+(x)', \tilde{h}^-(x)'\} \subset \mathcal{E}_\hbar(W).$$

Then $\widetilde{U}_W$ is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local and the $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra $\widetilde{V}_W$ generated by $\widetilde{U}_W$ is a $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of level ℓ with $\tilde{e}(x_0)$, $\tilde{f}(x_0)$ and $\tilde{h}^\pm(x_0)$ acting as $Y_\mathcal{E}(\tilde{e}(x), x_0)$, $Y_\mathcal{E}(\tilde{f}(x), x_0)$ and $Y_\mathcal{E}(\tilde{h}^\pm(x), x_0)$, respectively, and with d acting as $\mathcal{D} = d/dx$ and c acting as scalar ℓ. Furthermore, 1_W generates a vacuum $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of level ℓ.

Proof. With the commutation relations (6.4) and with Lemma 6.6, by Lemma 4.25, $\widetilde{U}_W$ is $\hbar$-adically $\mathcal{S}$-local. Note that the $\mathcal{D}$-operator of the $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra $\widetilde{V}_W$ is exactly the formal differential operator d/dx and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mathcal{D}, Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{e}(x), x_0)] = \frac{d}{dx_0} Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{e}(x), x_0), \qquad [\mathcal{D}, Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{f}(x), x_0)] = \frac{d}{dx_0} Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{f}(x), x_0),\\
&\quad [\mathcal{D}, Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{\pm}(x), x_0)] = \frac{d}{dx_0} Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{\pm}(x), x_0).
\end{aligned}$$

With the commutation relations (6.4), by Proposition 4.26 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{e}(x), x_1) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{e}(x), x_2) = \frac{x_2 - x_1 - \hbar}{x_2 - x_1 + \hbar} \cdot Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{e}(x), x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{e}(x), x_1),\\
&Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{f}(x), x_1) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{f}(x), x_2) = \frac{x_2 - x_1 + \hbar}{x_2 - x_1 - \hbar} \cdot Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{f}(x), x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{f}(x), x_1),\\
&Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{e}(x), x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{+}(x), x_1) = \frac{x_1 - x_2 - \hbar}{x_1 - x_2 + \hbar} \cdot Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{+}(x), x_1) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{e}(x), x_2),\\
&Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{f}(x), x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{+}(x), x_1) = \frac{x_1 - x_2 + (1-\ell)\hbar}{x_1 - x_2 - (1+\ell)\hbar} \cdot Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{+}(x), x_1) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{f}(x), x_2),\\
&Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{-}(x), x_1) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{e}(x), x_2) = \frac{x_2 - x_1 - \hbar}{x_2 - x_1 + \hbar} \cdot Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{e}(x), x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{-}(x), x_1),\\
&Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{-}(x), x_1) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{f}(x), x_2) = \frac{x_2 - x_1 + \hbar}{x_2 - x_1 - \hbar} \cdot Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{f}(x), x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{-}(x), x_1),\\
&[Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{\pm}(x)', x_1), Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{\pm}(x)', x_2)] = 0,\\
&Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{-}(x), x_2) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{+}(x), x_1)\\
&\quad = \frac{x_1 - x_2 - \hbar}{x_1 - x_2 + \hbar} \cdot \frac{x_1 - x_2 + (1-\ell)\hbar}{x_1 - x_2 - (1+\ell)\hbar} \cdot Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{+}(x), x_1) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{-}(x), x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that W is a faithful $\widetilde{V}_W$-module. Using Proposition 2.26 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{e}(x), x_1), Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{f}(x), x_2)] &= x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) Y_{\mathcal{E}}\left(\tilde{h}^{+}(x)' + \tilde{h}^{-}(x)', x_1\right)\\
&\quad + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\ell^k \hbar^{k-1}}{k!} Y_{\mathcal{E}}(1 + \hbar \tilde{h}^{+}(x)', x_1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^k x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{\hbar}\left(x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2 + \ell\hbar}{x_1}\right) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{+}(x), x_1) - x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{h}^{-}(x), x_2)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that V_W is a $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of level ℓ. Clearly, $\mathbf{1}$ is a vacuum vector of $\widetilde{V}_W$ viewed as a $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module, so it generates a vacuum $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module. □

Definition 6.8. Define K to be a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with a basis $\{c, E_m, F_m, I_m, J_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and with the Lie bracket relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&[c, K] = 0,\\
&[E(x), E(y)] = 0, \quad [F(x), F(y)] = 0,\\
&[I(x), I(y)] = 0, \quad [J(x), J(y)] = 0, \quad [I(x), J(y)] = \frac{2c}{(x-y)^2},\\
&[I(x), E(y)] = \frac{2}{x-y}E(y), \quad [I(x), F(y)] = \frac{-2}{x-y}F(y),\\
&[J(x), E(y)] = \frac{2}{y-x}E(y), \quad [J(x), F(y)] = \frac{-2}{y-x}F(y),\\
&[E(x), F(y)] = x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)(I(y) + J(y)) + c\frac{\partial}{\partial y}x^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{y}{x}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $a(x) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} a_m x^{-m-1}$ for $a = E, F, I, J$.

Remark 6.9. Consider the product Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}z$. Extend the normalized Killing form on $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ to a symmetric (invariant) bilinear form on $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}z$ by

$$\langle \mathfrak{sl}_2, z\rangle = 0, \quad \langle z, z\rangle = 0.$$

Then we have an affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}z}$. It is readily to see that Lie algebra K is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}z}$ with

$$E(x) = e(x), \quad F(x) = f(x), \quad I(x) = h(x)^+ + z(x), \quad J(x) = h(x)^- - z(x),$$

and $c = \mathbf{k}$ (the central element of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}z}$), where for $a \in \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}z$,

$$a(x) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} a(m)x^{-m-1}$$

and

$$h(x)^+ = \sum_{m\geq 0} h(m)x^{-m-1}, \qquad h(x)^- = \sum_{m<0} h(m)x^{-m-1}.$$

A vector w in a K-module is called a *vacuum vector* if

$$E_m w = F_m w = I_m w = J_m w = 0 \quad \text{for } m \geq 0.$$

A *vacuum K-module* is a module equipped with a vacuum vector which generates the whole module. Denote by $K_{\geq 0}$ the linear span of c, E_m, F_m, I_m, J_m for $m \geq 0$. It is clear that $K_{\geq 0}$ is a Lie subalgebra. Let ℓ be a complex number. Denote by $\mathbb{C}_\ell$ the 1-dimensional $K_{\geq 0}$-module with c acting as ℓ and with all the other generators of $K_{\geq 0}$ acting trivially. Form the induced K-module

$$V_K(\ell, 0) = U(K) \otimes_{U(K_{\geq 0})} \mathbb{C}_\ell. \tag{6.7}$$

Set $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes 1 \in V_K(\ell, 0)$. Then $V_K(\ell, 0)$ is a vacuum K-module of level ℓ, which is universal in the obvious sense. In view of the connection of K with $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}z}$, $V_K(\ell, 0)$ is also a universal vacuum $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}z}$-module of level ℓ. If ℓ is generic, it is well known that the universal vacuum $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}z}$-module of level ℓ is irreducible. It follows that $V_K(\ell, 0)$ is an irreducible K-module if ℓ is generic.

Set

$$E = E(-1)\mathbf{1}, \quad F = F(-1)\mathbf{1}, \quad I = I(-1)\mathbf{1}, \quad J = J(-1)\mathbf{1} \in V_K(\ell, 0).$$

Clearly, $\{1, E(x), F(x), I(x), J(x)\}$ is $\mathcal{S}$-local. It follows from [Li5] that there exists a (unique) non-degenerate quantum vertex algebra structure on $V_K(\ell, 0)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbf{1}$ as the vacuum vector and with

$$Y(E, x) = E(x), \quad Y(F, x) = F(x), \quad Y(I, x) = I(x), \quad Y(J, x) = J(x).$$

Proposition 6.10. *Let W be any $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of level ℓ. Then $W/\hbar W$ is a K-module of level ℓ with E_m, F_m, I_m, J_m for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ acting as $\tilde{e}_m, \tilde{f}_m, \tilde{h}^+_m, \tilde{h}^-_m$, respectively. If W is a vacuum $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of level ℓ, then $W/\hbar W$ is a vacuum K-module of level ℓ.*

Proof. The first assertion follows immediately from the defining relations in (6.4) and the relations in Lemma 6.6. If W is a vacuum $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of level ℓ, we see that $W/\hbar W$ is a vacuum K-module of level ℓ. □

Theorem 6.11. *Let ℓ be a complex number. Assume that there exists a vacuum $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module $V(\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}, \ell)$ of level ℓ which is universal in the obvious sense and which is topologically free. Then there exists a unique $\hbar$-adic weak quantum vertex algebra structure on $V(\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}, \ell)$ with $\mathbf{1}$ as the vacuum vector and with*

$$Y(\tilde{e}_{-1}\mathbf{1}, x) = \tilde{e}(x), \quad Y(\tilde{f}_{-1}\mathbf{1}, x) = \tilde{f}(x), \quad Y(\tilde{h}^{\pm}_{-1}\mathbf{1}, x) = \tilde{h}^{\pm}(x)'. \tag{6.8}$$

If ℓ is generic, then $V(\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}, \ell)$ is a non-degenerate $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebra. Furthermore, on any $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module W of level ℓ, there exists a unique $V(\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}, \ell)$-module structure such that

$$Y_W(\tilde{e}_{-1}\mathbf{1}, x) = \tilde{e}(x), \quad Y_W(\tilde{f}_{-1}\mathbf{1}, x) = \tilde{f}(x), \quad Y_W(\tilde{h}^{\pm}_{-1}\mathbf{1}, x) = \tilde{h}^{\pm}(x)'.$$

Proof. Let W be a $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of level ℓ. By Proposition 6.7, the $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebra $\widetilde{V}_W$ generated by $\tilde{U}_W$ is a $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of level ℓ and the submodule generated by 1_W is a vacuum $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module of level ℓ with vacuum vector 1_W. It follows that there exists a $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module homomorphism ψ_W from $V(\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}, \ell)$ to $\widetilde{V}_W$, sending $\mathbf{1}$ to 1_W. Specializing $W = V(\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}, \ell)$ and then applying Theorem 4.24 with $V = V(\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}, \ell)$, $U = \{\mathbf{1}, \tilde{e}_{-1}\mathbf{1}, \tilde{f}_{-1}\mathbf{1}, \tilde{h}^{\pm}_{-1}\mathbf{1}\}$, we obtain the first assertion. For a general W, from Theorem 4.16, W is a canonical module for $\widetilde{V}_W$. The $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$-module map ψ_W satisfies

$$\psi_W(\mathbf{1}) = 1_W, \quad \psi_W(u_m v) = u(x)_m \psi_W(v) \quad \text{ for } u \in U, \ v \in V, \ m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

As $\mathbf{1}$ generates $V(\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}, \ell)$ as a $\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$-module, it follows that ψ_W is a homomorphism of $\hbar$-adic nonlocal vertex algebras. Then the last assertion follows.

As for the second assertion, since ℓ is generic, $V_K(\ell, 0)$ is an irreducible K-module. Because $V(\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}, \ell)/\hbar V(\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}, \ell)$ is a vacuum K-module of level ℓ by Proposition 6.10, it follows that

$$V(\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}, \ell)/\hbar V(\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}, \ell) \simeq V_K(\ell, 0)$$

as a K-module. It follows that this K-module isomorphism is also an isomorphism of nonlocal vertex algebras. As $V_K(\ell, 0)$ is (irreducible) non-degenerate, $V(\widetilde{DY_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}, \ell)$ is a non-degenerate $\hbar$-adic quantum vertex algebra. $\square$

References

[AB] Iana I. Anguelova and Maarten J. Bergvelt, H_D-Quantum vertex algebras and bicharacters, preprint; arXiv:0706.1528 [math.QA].

[BK] B. Bakalov and V. Kac, Field algebras, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **3** (2003) 123-159.

[B1] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, in "*Topological Field Theory, Primitive Forms and Related Topics*" (Kyoto, 1996), edited by M. Kashiwara, A. Matsuo, K. Saito and I. Satake, Progress in Math., Vol. 160, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998, 35-77.

[B2] R. E. Borcherds, Quantum vertex algebras, *Taniguchi Conference on Mathematics Nara'98,* Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 31, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2001, 51-74.

[DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*, Progress in Math. **112**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

[Dr1] V. Drinfeld, Hopf algebras and quantum Yang-Baxter equation, Soviet Math. Dokl. 32 (1985) 1060-1064.

[Dr2] V. Drinfeld, A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **36** (1988) 212-216.

[En] B. Enriquez, PBW and duality theorems for quantum groups and quantum current algebras, arXiv:math/9904113.

[EK] P. Etingof and D. Kazhdan, Quantization of Lie bialgebras, V, *Selecta Mathematica (New Series)*, **6** (2000) 105-130.

[EFK] P. Etingof, I. Frenkel and A. Kirillov, Jr., Lectures on Representation Theory and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Equations, Math. Surveys and Monographs **58**, AMS, 1998.

[FKRW] E. Frenkel, V. Kac, A. Radual and W. Wang, $W_{1+\infty}$ and $W(gl_\infty)$ with central charge N, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995) 337-357.

[FFR] A. Feingold, I. B. Frenkel and J. F. Ries, Spinor construction of vertex operator algebras, triality, and $E_8^{(1)}$, Contemporary Math. 121, 1991.

[FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Appl. Math., **Vol. 134**, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.

[IK] K. Iohara and M. Konno, A central extension of $DY_\hbar(gl_2)$ and its vertex representations, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996) 319-328.

[KL] M. Karel and H.-S. Li, Some quantum vertex algebras of Zamolodchikov-Faddeev type, *Commun. Contemporary Math.* **11** (2009) 829-863.

[K] C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, GTM **155**, Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[Kh] S. M. Khoroshkin, Central extension of the Yangian double, arXiv: q-alg/9602031.

[KT] S. Khoroshkin and V. Tolstoy, Yangian double, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **36** (1996) 373-402.

[LL] J. Lepowsky and H.-S. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Math. **227**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.

[Li1] H.-S. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **109** (1996) 143-195; hep-th/9406185.

[Li2] H.-S. Li, Axiomatic G_1-vertex algebras, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **5** (2003) 281-327.

[Li3] H.-S. Li, Pseudoderivations, pseudoautomorphisms and simple current modules for vertex algebras, Contemporary Math. **392**, 2005, 55-65.

[Li4] H.-S. Li, Nonlocal vertex algebras generated by formal vertex operators, *Selecta Mathematica (New Series)* **11** (2005) 349-397.

[Li5] H.-S. Li, Constructing quantum vertex algebras, *International Journal of Mathematics* **17** (2006) 441-476.

[Li6] H.-S. Li, Modules-at-infinity for quantum vertex algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **282** (2008) 819-864.

[LTW] H.-S. Li, S. Tan, and Q. Wang, Twisted modules for quantum vertex algebras, *J. Pure Applied Algebra* **214** (2010) 201-220.

[MP] A. Meurman and M. Primc, Annihilating fields of standard modules of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})}$ and combinatorial identities, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **652**, 1999.